EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

NINTH EDITION

Editor Erika C Collins

#LawReviews

EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

NINTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in March 2018 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor Erika C Collins

ELAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS Thomas Lee, Joel Woods

ACCOUNT MANAGERS
Pere Aspinall, Sophie Emberson,
Laura Lynas, Jack Bagnall

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

RESEARCHER Arthur Hunter

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Tessa Brummitt

SUBEDITOR Caroline Fewkes

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Paul Howarth

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2018 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of February 2018, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-912228-17-1

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

ELAWREVIEWS

THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE BANKING LITIGATION LAW REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE CLASS ACTIONS LAW REVIEW

THE CONSUMER FINANCE LAW REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE GAMBLING LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE HEALTHCARE LAW REVIEW

THE INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS REVIEW

THE INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW

THE INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE ISLAMIC FINANCE AND MARKETS LAW REVIEW

THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE PATENT LITIGATION LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE M&A AND PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW

THE SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AND ACTIVISM REVIEW

THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW

THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE THIRD PARTY LITIGATION FUNDING LAW REVIEW

THE TRADEMARKS LAW REVIEW

THE TRANSFER PRICING LAW REVIEW

THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

© 2018 Law Business Research Ltd

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

ALRUD LAW FIRM

ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA

ATHERSTONE & COOK LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

BAYKANIDEA LAW OFFICES

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

CANTERBURY LAW LIMITED

CLEMENS

DAI-ICHI FUYO LAW OFFICE

DAWNING LAW OFFICE

DELOITTE ADVOKATFIRMA AS

DENTONS

ENSAFRICA

FERRAIUOLI LLC

GEORGE Z GEORGIOU & ASSOCIATES LLC

GIANNI, ORIGONI, GRIPPO, CAPPELLI & PARTNERS

GLEISS LUTZ

GLOBETROTTERS LEGAL

GONZALEZ CALVILLO, SC

HERZOG FOX & NEEMAN

JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEŃA

KOCHHAR & CO

KROGERUS

LAW FIRM ŠAFAR & PARTNERS, LTD

LOYENS & LOEFF LUXEMBOURG S.À R.L.

MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL

MATHESON

MATTOS FILHO, VEIGA FILHO, MARREY JR E QUIROGA ADVOGADOS

OSTERMANN & PARTNERS LLP

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

SAGARDOY ABOGADOS

SAYENKO KHARENKO

SBM LEGAL

SIGUION REYNA, MONTECILLO & ONGSIAKO LAW OFFICE

SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK

URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR

VAN DOORNE NV

VAN OLMEN & WYNANT

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA

WALDER WYSS LTD

WESSLAU SÖDERQVIST ADVOKATBYRÅ

CONTENTS

| PREFACE | | is |
|-----------------|---|----|
| Erika C Collins | | |
| Chapter 1 | EMPLOYMENT ISSUES IN CROSS-BORDER M&A | |
| | TRANSACTIONS | 1 |
| | Erika C Collins, Michelle A Gyves and Marissa A Mastroianni | |
| Chapter 2 | GLOBAL DIVERSITY AND INTERNATIONAL | |
| | EMPLOYMENT | 8 |
| | Erika C Collins | |
| Chapter 3 | SOCIAL MEDIA AND INTERNATIONAL | |
| | EMPLOYMENT | 17 |
| | Erika C Collins | |
| Chapter 4 | RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION IN INTERNATIONAL | |
| | EMPLOYMENT LAW | 26 |
| | Erika C Collins | |
| Chapter 5 | ARGENTINA | 41 |
| | Javier E Patrón and Enrique M Stile | |
| Chapter 6 | BELGIUM | 57 |
| | Chris Van Olmen | |
| Chapter 7 | BERMUDA | 72 |
| | Juliana M Snelling | |
| Chapter 8 | BRAZIL | 83 |
| | Vilma Toshie Kutomi and Domingos Antonio Fortunato Netto | |

| Chapter 9 | CANADA | 101 |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Robert Bonhomme and Michael D Grodinsky | |
| Chapter 10 | CHILE | 113 |
| | Alberto Rencoret and Sebastián Merino von Bernath | |
| Chapter 11 | CHINA | 125 |
| | Erika C Collins and Ying Li | |
| Chapter 12 | CROATIA | 143 |
| | Mila Selak | |
| Chapter 13 | CYPRUS | 154 |
| | George Z Georgiou and Anna Praxitelous | |
| Chapter 14 | DENMARK | 169 |
| | Tommy Angermair | |
| Chapter 15 | DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | 184 |
| | Rosa (Lisa) Díaz Abreu | |
| Chapter 16 | FINLAND | 194 |
| | JP Alho and Carola Möller | |
| Chapter 17 | FRANCE | 206 |
| | Yasmine Tarasewicz and Paul Romatet | |
| Chapter 18 | GERMANY | 223 |
| | Thomas Winzer | |
| Chapter 19 | GHANA | 234 |
| | Paa Kwesi Hagan | |
| Chapter 20 | HONG KONG | 246 |
| | Jeremy Leifer | |
| Chapter 21 | INDIA | 258 |
| | Debjani Aich | |

| Chapter 22 | INDONESIA | 270 |
|------------|---|-----|
| | Nafis Adwani and Indra Setiawan | |
| Chapter 23 | IRELAND | 286 |
| | Bryan Dunne and Bláthnaid Evans | |
| Chapter 24 | ISRAEL | 304 |
| | Orly Gerbi, Maayan Hammer-Tzeelon, Nir Gal and Marian Fertleman | |
| Chapter 25 | ITALY | 318 |
| | Raffaella Betti Berutto | |
| Chapter 26 | JAPAN | 332 |
| | Shione Kinoshita, Shiho Azuma, Yuki Minato, Hideaki Saito, Hiroaki Koyama, Keisuke Tomida, Tomoaki Ikeda and Momoko Koga | |
| Chapter 27 | LUXEMBOURG | 344 |
| | Annie Elfassi and Florence D'Ath | |
| Chapter 28 | MEXICO | 361 |
| | Rafael Vallejo | |
| Chapter 29 | NETHERLANDS | 378 |
| | Els de Wind and Cara Pronk | |
| Chapter 30 | NEW ZEALAND | 403 |
| | Bridget Smith and Tim Oldfield | |
| Chapter 31 | NORWAY | 414 |
| | Gro Forsdal Helvik | |
| Chapter 32 | PANAMA | 426 |
| | Vivian Holness | |
| Chapter 33 | PHILIPPINES | 437 |
| | Rolando Mario G Villonco and Rafael H E Khan | |
| Chapter 34 | POLAND | 450 |
| | Roch Pałubicki and Filip Sodulski | |

| Chapter 35 | PORTUGAL | 464 |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Tiago Piló | |
| Chapter 36 | PUERTO RICO | 475 |
| | Katherine González-Valentín, María Judith (Nani) Marchand-Sánchez, | |
| | Tatiana Leal-González and Gregory José Figueroa-Rosario | |
| Chapter 37 | RUSSIA | 491 |
| | Irina Anyukhina | |
| Chapter 38 | SAUDI ARABIA | 509 |
| | John Balouziyeh and Jonathan Burns | |
| Chapter 39 | SLOVENIA | 524 |
| | Vesna Šafar and Martin Šafar | |
| Chapter 40 | SOUTH AFRICA | 542 |
| | Stuart Harrison, Brian Patterson and Zahida Ebrahim | |
| Chapter 41 | SPAIN | 562 |
| | Ińigo Sagardoy de Simón and Gisella Rocío Alvarado Caycho | |
| Chapter 42 | SWEDEN | 579 |
| | Jessica Stålhammar | |
| Chapter 43 | SWITZERLAND | 591 |
| | Ueli Sommer | |
| Chapter 44 | TAIWAN | 604 |
| | Jamie Shih-Mei Lin | |
| Chapter 45 | TURKEY | 614 |
| • | Serbülent Baykan and Handan Bektaş | |
| Chapter 46 | UKRAINE | 628 |
| | Svitlana Kheda | |
| Chapter 47 | UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 641 |
| | Jain Black Catherine Rechett and Anna Terriggi | |

| Chapter 48 | UNITED KINGDOM | 650 |
|------------|--|-----|
| | Daniel Ornstein, Peta-Anne Barrow and Kelly McMullon | |
| Chapter 49 | UNITED STATES Allan S Bloom and Laura M Fant | 665 |
| Chapter 50 | ZIMBABWE Tawanda Nyamasoka | 678 |
| Appendix 1 | ABOUT THE AUTHORS | 689 |
| Appendix 2 | CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS | 719 |

PREFACE

Every winter we survey milestones and significant events in the international employment law space to update and publish *The Employment Law Review*. At that time, I read the Preface that I wrote for the first edition back in 2009. In that first edition, I noted that I believed that this type of book was long overdue because multinational corporations must understand and comply with the laws of the various jurisdictions in which they operate. This continues to hold true today, and this ninth edition of *The Employment Law Review* is proof of the continuously growing importance of international employment law. It has given me great pride and pleasure to see *The Employment Law Review* grow and develop over the past eight years to satisfy the initial purpose of this text: to serve as a tool to help legal practitioners and human resources professionals identify issues that present challenges to their clients and companies. As the various editions of this book have highlighted, changes to the laws of many jurisdictions over the past several years emphasise why we continue to consolidate and review this text to provide readers with an up-to-date reference guide.

Our first general interest chapter continues to track the variety of employment-related issues that arise during cross-border merger and acquisition transactions. After a brief decline following the global financial crisis, mergers and acquisitions remain active. This chapter, along with the relevant country-specific chapters, will aid practitioners and human resources professionals who conduct due diligence and provide other employment-related support in connection with cross-border corporate M&A deals.

Global diversity and inclusion initiatives remained a significant issue in 2017 in nations across the globe, and this is the topic of the second general interest chapter. In 2017, many countries in Asia and Europe, as well as South America, enhanced their employment laws to embrace a more inclusive vision of equality. These countries enacted anti-discrimination and anti-harassment legislation as well as gender quotas and pay equity regulation to ensure that all employees, regardless of gender, sexual orientation or gender identity, among other factors, are empowered and protected in the workplace. Unfortunately, there are still many countries where certain classes of individuals remain under-protected and under-represented in the workforce, and multinational companies still have many challenges with tracking and promoting their diversity and inclusion initiatives and training programmes.

The third general interest chapter focuses on another ever-increasing employment law trend in which companies revise, or consider revising, social media and mobile device management policies. Mobile devices and social media have a prominent role in and impact on both employee recruitment efforts and the interplay between an employer's interest in protecting its business and an employee's right to privacy. Because companies continue to implement 'bring-your-own-device' programmes, this chapter emphasises the issues that multinational employers must contemplate prior to unveiling such a policy.

Bring-your-own-device issues remain at the forefront of employment law as more and more jurisdictions pass, or consider passing, privacy legislation that places significant restrictions on the processing of employees' personal data. This chapter both addresses practice pointers that employers must bear in mind when monitoring employees' use of social media at work and provides advance planning processes to consider prior to making an employment decision based on information found on social media.

In 2015, we introduced the fourth and newest general interest chapter, which discusses the interplay between religion and employment law. In 2017, we saw several new, interesting and impactful cases that further illustrate the widespread and constantly changing global norms and values concerning religion in the workplace. Religion has a significant status in societies throughout the world, and this chapter not only underscores how the workplace is affected by religious beliefs but also examines how the legal environment has adapted to such beliefs. The chapter explores how several nations manage and integrate religion in the workplace, in particular by examining headscarf bans and religious discrimination.

In addition to these four general interest chapters, this ninth edition of *The Employment Law Review* includes 46 country-specific chapters that detail the legal environment and developments of certain international jurisdictions. This edition has once again been the product of excellent collaboration, and I wish to thank our publisher. I also wish to thank all of our contributors and my associate, Marissa Mastroianni, for her invaluable efforts to bring this edition to fruition.

Erika C Collins

Proskauer Rose LLP New York February 2018

ERIKA C COLLINS

Proskauer Rose LLP

Erika Collins is a partner in the labour and employment law department and co-head of the international labour and employment law group of Proskauer Rose, resident in the New York office. Ms Collins advises and counsels multinational public and private companies on a wide range of cross-border employment and human resources matters throughout the Americas, Europe, Africa and Asia.

Ms Collins represents US and non-US employers in all aspects of company growth and restructuring, from office openings, executive hires and workforce expansions to company downsizing, employment terminations, mass lay-offs and office closures. She advises clients on preparing competitive employment packages and agreements, such as separation, expatriate and consulting agreements, that are compliant with local laws, as well as on payroll, benefits and vacation issues. Ms Collins regularly conducts multi-country audits of employment laws and practices in order to provide advice to clients regarding compliance with data privacy, fixed term contracts, outsourcing, and working time and leave regulations among numerous other issues.

Additionally, Ms Collins advises employers on sexual harassment and other misconduct allegations, as well as cross-border investigations. She also is experienced in conducting due

diligence on international subsidiaries and advising on applicable business transfer laws and employee transition issues in cross-border M&A transactions.

Ms Collins is the editor of *The Employment Law Review*, which covers employment laws in 46 countries. In addition to authoring numerous articles on international employment topics, Ms Collins is a regular speaker at the International Bar Association and the American Bar Association. Topics on which she has written and spoken recently include: cross-border transfers of executives; global mobility issues for multinationals; employment issues in cross-border M&A transactions; the landscape of issues in international employment law; global diversity programmes; the intersection of EU privacy and anti-discrimination laws; and cross-border investigations.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Eleven Times Square New York, 10036-8299 United States

Tel: +1 212 969 3555 Fax: +1 212 969 2900 ecollins@proskauer.com www.proskauer.com

Chapter 34

POLAND

Roch Pałubicki and Filip Sodulski¹

I INTRODUCTION

Polish employment law is primarily regulated by the Labour Code of 26 June 1974, which has been substantially amended. There are numerous laws and regulations apart from the Labour Code that address employment law.

Polish law states that certain internal acts issued by a particular employer constitute sources of labour law. This includes collective bargaining agreements as well as other collective arrangements, regulations and policies. The provisions of such internal policies may not be less favourable to employees than the provisions of the Labour Code or other statutory laws.

Labour courts are included in the framework of the common courts in Poland, constituting specialised divisions of such courts.

The State Labour Inspectorate (SLI) is the competent authority to enforce employment laws. The primary tasks of the SLI include: supervision and inspection of compliance with labour law; taking legal action in cases related to the establishment of labour relations (reclassification); and prosecuting infringements of employees' rights and other infringements related to the performance of work and legality of employment.

II YEAR IN REVIEW

i Significant changes in labour law

On 1 January 2017 substantial amendments to the Labour Code entered into force with the purpose to improve the legal environment for entrepreneurs. The new provisions provide for an alleviation of the labour law requirements for small and medium-sized enterprises. In particular, the headcount threshold obligating the employers to introduce remuneration regulations, employment regulations and establish a social fund has been increased from 20 to 50. These obligations may still apply to employers who employ at least 20 employees if a request is made by a trade union. Another notable amendment is that the new provisions extend the time limit for employees to lodge an appeal against a notice of termination of employment or termination of employment without notice to 21 days.

¹ Roch Pałubicki is a partner and Filip Sodulski is an attorney-at-law at Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak. A wider team of Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak's associates has contributed to this chapter both in its initial redaction and the subsequent updates. The authors wish to thank all those contributors and specifically Karolina Nowotna-Hartman and Agnieszka Gałka.

ii New regulations on minimum wage for non-employees

On 1 January 2017 provisions amending the law on the minimum wage and certain other acts entered into force. The amendments introduce minimum hourly rates for persons rendering work or services to entrepreneurs under mandate or service agreements, including as self-employed (in 2017 in the amount of 13 zlotys). The service recipients are required, as a rule, to keep records of the number of hours worked by those persons. As of 1 January 2017, the powers of the SLI have been extended accordingly. It has acquired broad powers to control compliance with the aforesaid requirements.

iii Amendments to the Act on Employment of Temporary Workers

On 1 June 2017, important amendments to the Act on Employment of Temporary Workers came into force. According to the new regulation, an employer is allowed to benefit from the work of a temporary worker for a period not exceeding 18 months in aggregate over a period of 36 subsequent months (regardless of the number of work agencies directing the temporary worker to perform work). The employer is also required to keep the record of individuals performing temporary work. Moreover, the employer is required to make available to the work agency not only the information on remuneration for work assigned to the temporary workers, but also information on the internal remuneration regulations in force. The new regulations also provide for protection against termination of employment of certain pregnant temporary workers.

iv New regulations on retirement and the disability pension

On 1 October 2017 new provisions amending the Act on Pensions from the Social Security Fund and certain other acts entered into force. The amendment lowered the retirement age to 60 years for women and 65 years for men.

III SIGNIFICANT CASES

There were two significant Supreme Court rulings in 2017 that are likely to impact future judgments.

On 4 April 2017, the Supreme Court ruled that if an employer states in the termination notice of an open-ended employment contract reasons pertaining to an employee's failure to achieve the expected results, they must be evaluated in the context of the manner in which the employee performed his or her tasks.² The case concerned a regional sales manager. The reasons justifying the notice were his failure to achieve the expected results in selling products and his lack of commitment to attract new customers. The Supreme Court indicated that employees cannot be punished if the employer's economic activity fails to achieve certain results, as long as the employee performed his or her duties properly.

In a judgment on 11 January 2017 the Supreme Court stated that an employer can demand that an employee inform it of any additional activities that he or she undertakes during the employment period.³ If the employee fails to do so, this can justify termination of employment upon notice. This requirement should be understood as implementing the

² Judgment of the Supreme Court of 4 April 2017, III PK 93/16.

³ Judgment of the Supreme Court of 11 January 2017, I PK 25/16.

obligation to take care of the employer's interests. If such provision is included in the contract, the failure to provide an employer with this information justifies termination of employment upon notice.

IV BASICS OF ENTERING AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP

i Employment relationship

An employment relationship is, in principle, established on the basis of an employment agreement. There are additional bases for the establishment of an employment relationship, in particular appointment or nomination; however, their significance is marginal.

Polish law defines the employment relationship as an obligation of the employee to perform a certain type of work under the supervision of the employer at a location and time defined by the employer for remuneration paid by the employer. Regardless of the type of contract the parties executed, performance of work on the terms and conditions specified above is always considered employment; in particular a civil law contract may not be concluded instead of an employment agreement.

The Polish Labour Code defines two major types of employment agreements:

- employment agreement for an unspecified period (open-ended); and
- b employment agreement for a specified period (fixed-term).

Open-ended agreements are offered to employees with whom the employer wishes to have a long-term relationship and are strongly preferred by employees.

A fixed-term employment agreement is also commonly used. This type of agreement provides for a specific duration and termination date (upon which it terminates automatically).

Employment agreements should contain provisions on:

- conditions of employment and remuneration, such as type of work, place of performance of work and remuneration;
- b working time (full-time or part-time); and
- c date of commencement of work.

It is advisable that additional issues be regulated in the agreement, such as intellectual property and confidentiality. A written employment contract must be executed and signed by both parties.

The terms and conditions of employment may be changed by the parties upon a mutual alteration agreement or by unilateral notice on the alteration of terms and conditions of employment served by the employer. If the employee is not willing to accept the alteration notice, he or she may appeal to the courts or decide to terminate employment.

ii Probationary periods

Polish law provides for the possibility to execute a separate probationary period agreement. Such agreement may precede either of the two types of employment agreements discussed above. The maximum probationary period is three months. The employer has no obligation to continue the employment after the lapse of the probationary period.

The probationary period employment agreement may be terminated by either party by notice before the expiration of the probationary period. The notice period depends on the duration of the probationary period and ranges from three days to two weeks.

iii Establishing a presence

A foreign company may employ workers without being registered to carry on business in Poland. It may also use temporary workers provided by an agency or engage individual contractors.

Most of the double tax treaties (DTTs) to which Poland is a signatory are based on the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Model Convention and set forth regulations allowing a contractor to be considered a permanent establishment (PE) of the company. A contractor creates a PE of the company when he or she acts on behalf of the company and has and habitually exercises, in a given country, an authority to conclude contracts in the name of the company. In such cases, the company is deemed to have a PE in that country in respect of any activities of the contractor, unless they are limited to those listed in the DTT that, if exercised through a fixed place of business, would not make it a PE unless the contractor acts merely as an 'independent agent' under the DTT.

The commencement of activity by the foreign company in the form of a PE is treated as the start of a business activity in Poland. Therefore, the company would be subject to corporate income tax (in relation to profits derived from activities performed in Poland) and business activity of the company performed through a PE would be subject to VAT in Poland. However, in principle Polish law requires that a foreign entrepreneur conducting business activity in Poland either establishes a branch or representative office in Poland.

A Polish branch or a representative office of a foreign company becoming an employer is obliged to deduct and pay to the tax authorities income tax advances for each employee who is a Polish tax resident. In principle, it is also necessary to deduct social security dues from the gross amount of the employee's remuneration. If the employees are employed directly by a foreign company, they are responsible for paying the income tax advances during the year by themselves. In certain instances (in particular when the employees are employed by a company from the EU), deduction of social security dues remains the responsibility of the foreign employer. An EU-based foreign employer and an employee may execute an agreement on payment of social security dues on the basis of which the employee undertakes to pay his or her social security dues.

V RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

An employer may conclude non-compete agreements with its employees. If the non-compete restriction covers the period of employment, compensation does not have to be provided to an employee.

When a given employee has access to particularly important information, the disclosure of which may expose the employer to damage, the employer may execute a non-compete agreement for the period following termination of employment with that employee. The non-compete restriction for a period following termination of employment should include compensation for the employee of at least 25 per cent of his or her previous earnings during the corresponding period immediately preceding the termination of employment.

VI WAGES

i Working time

The basic working time system allows for a maximum of eight hours' work per day and an average of 40 hours a week based on a 'reference period', which in principle cannot exceed

four months but may be extended up to 12 months under certain circumstances. Weekly working time (including overtime) cannot exceed an average of 48 hours per week (balanced over the entire period of reference). The minimum daily rest period is 11 hours, and the minimum weekly rest period is 35 hours.

According to Polish law, night work encompasses eight hours of work (chosen by the employer) between 9pm and 7am. An employee whose schedule of working time includes at least three hours of night work every day or where at least a quarter of whose working time in the reference period falls during night time is deemed a night worker. The working time of a night worker may not exceed eight hours if the employee performs particularly dangerous work or work requiring a high physical or mental effort.

ii Overtime

For every hour of overtime an employee is entitled to an additional 50 per cent of his or her remuneration; however, this is increased to 100 per cent in certain instances, such as if overtime work is performed during the night-time, at weekends or on a public holiday, which is not a usual working day of the employee. Persons in managerial positions are entitled to overtime benefits to a limited extent.

Upon a written request, the employer may grant an employee a release from work for a period corresponding to the duration of overtime worked (instead of the aforementioned overtime compensation). The employer may also grant the employee time off without the employee's request; however, this is at the ratio of 1.5 hours of time off for each hour of overtime worked. The statutory overtime limit is 150 hours in a calendar year. It is possible to increase this within certain limits.

VII FOREIGN WORKERS

Employers are not subject to a legal obligation to keep a special register of foreign workers, although for practical reasons, such information should be easily accessible (e.g., in case of an SLI inspection). There is no legal limit on the number of foreign workers a company can employ.

A foreign national may perform work in Poland if, inter alia:

- he or she has a work permit and relevant residence title in the territory of Poland (e.g., a visa) or a (joint) residence and work permit;
- b he or she has been granted refugee status, temporary protection, subsidiary protection, a permanent residence permit, a residence permit on humanitarian grounds, a permit for tolerated stay or a long-term EU residency permit;
- c he or she is a citizen of an EU Member State, a citizen of another European Economic Area (EEA) Member State, a citizen of a non-Member State of the EEA who may enjoy freedom of movement on the basis of a treaty executed between the state and the EU and its Member States, a family member of a foreigner in one of the categories listed here (subject to additional criteria); or
- d he or she has a residence permit for a specified period of time granted to practise a profession requiring high qualifications.

In principle, the work permit may be issued for a period not exceeding three years, although it may be extended. In certain specific situations, the work permit may be issued for five years. Following receipt of the work permit, the foreigner is obliged to apply for an employment

visa or a residence permit. Instead of applying for two documents referring separately to work and residence legalisation, a joint residence and work permit may be applied for. The period for which it may be issued may not exceed three years. The permit may be subsequently renewed.

A foreigner employed in Poland is covered by the minimum requirements of Polish labour law, in particular those relating to working time and overtime payments, minimum remuneration, length of holiday leave, health and safety at work, non-discrimination and the rights of employees related to parenthood. The employer is obliged to pay taxes and social security dues for the foreign worker, subject to double tax treaties and any similar international treaties related to social security and to the provisions of the pertinent EU regulations.

VIII GLOBAL POLICIES

Global policies are enforceable only to the extent to which they are compliant with Polish law and only if they are properly introduced. It is legally required that such policies are in Polish. Depending on the contents of such global policies, it may be recommended to obtain the employees' consent (familiarisation forms).

Disciplinary procedures are provided for by Polish law and are very formalised in relation to:

- a types of disciplinary offences (breach of order at work, health and safety regulations, fire prevention regulations or the method of confirming presence at work and excusing absence from work);
- b procedural requirements (which are very formal and include the right to appeal to court); and
- c penalties.

Such disciplinary procedures should be addressed in the employment regulations (a specific document described below) and not in global policies or in employment contracts.

In principle, once an employer has 50 employees, it is obliged to introduce internal labour regulations, namely employment regulations and remuneration regulations (unless there is a collective bargaining agreement in force). The employer is also obliged to establish a social fund and issue social fund regulations, unless it has been agreed with the employees' representative or trade unions that no fund is to be established. The employer is obliged to ensure that every individual employee is acquainted with the regulations and policies. The regulations and policies may be made available to the employees in the manner customary to a given employer, including posting on the intranet.

The employer is obliged to agree on the employment regulations and remuneration regulations with trade unions active at the employer's business (if any). Furthermore, some specific provisions of the employment regulations and remuneration regulations need to be agreed with the trade unions or the employees' representatives for their validity (e.g., extension of the reference period up to 12 months). In other cases, such regulations are not subject to the consent of the employees, unless such regulations worsen their terms and conditions of employment.

Polish law prohibits discrimination, sexual harassment and corruption. Such issues can be more specifically regulated in company policies. Neither global policies nor internal regulations issued by Polish companies have to be filed with or approved by any government

authority, however, it is required that the copy of the agreement on extension of reference periods to 12 months is submitted to a labour inspector and the inspector is notified of specific working-time systems if they are introduced at the employer's business.

IX TRANSLATION

Employment documents must have a Polish version if the following conditions are jointly met:

- a the employee is a Polish resident when concluding the employment agreement; and
- b the work is to be performed in Poland.

Certified translation or notarisation is not required; however, with respect to agreements, regulations, etc., the Polish versions need to be the official versions in the sense that they are executed (signed). Unofficial translations of documents executed in a foreign language are not sufficient.

A parallel foreign language version is admissible, but the Polish version prevails if the employee is a Polish citizen.

However, as an exception from the foregoing rule, if an employee is not a Polish citizen, has been instructed on his or her right to receive an employment agreement or other employment-related document in Polish and requests that the document is prepared in another language (with which he or she is familiar), then the Polish version is not required.

The Polish language requirement is applicable to all employment documents and in particular to employment contracts, confidentiality agreements, restrictive covenant agreements, proprietary information and assignment agreements, internal regulations, bonus or other incentive compensation plans, employee handbooks or other policies, etc.

Documents without the required Polish versions are, in principle, still enforceable (as long as the employee comprehends the foreign language version), but the person responsible for their implementation is subject to a fine.

X EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATION

There are two basic forms of employee representation: works councils and trade unions. Each form is governed by different rules. A works council and trade union organisation may exist at one employer independently from others. In such case, certain issues would need to be separately discussed with the unions and the council (e.g., collective redundancies). Polish law also provides for various *ad hoc* employee representatives elected for specific purposes in the absence of trade unions.

i Works council

The works council is a body representing the employees of a given employer, employing at least 50 employees. The number of employees is established based on the average headcount during the six preceding months. The employer is obliged to inform the works council of:

- a the activities and economic situation of the employer and contemplated developments in this regard;
- *b* the situation, structure and contemplated development of employment, as well as actions aimed at retaining the level of employment; and

c actions that are likely to lead to substantial changes in the work organisation or in contractual relations.

Under certain circumstances the employer may refuse to make some information available to the works council.

The matters specified in points (b) and (c) above should also be subject to consultation with the works council. The council does not have any approval or co-determination rights.

The procedure for information and consultation should be agreed between the works council and the employer. There are no formal rules as to the frequency of works council meetings; however, the employer is bound to inform the works council in the case of any contemplated actions that are statutorily covered by the information procedure, that the employer has agreed to inform the works council of and at the written request of the works council. Any action subject to information or consultation should only be taken following the completion of the process.

The works council members are elected by the employees. Should the average headcount reach 50 employees (calculated in a specific way), a group of at least 10 per cent of the employees may ask the employer, in writing, to organise the election of a works council. The election procedure is established by the employer but should be fair and democratic.

The term of office of works council members lasts four years from the day of the election.

The number of members of the works council depends on the number of employees and is as follows:

- a three members if there are between 50 and 250 employees;
- b five members if there are between 251 and 500 employees; and
- c seven members if there are more than 500 employees.

There are certain advantages for the employees connected with their membership of the works council; in particular, the employer is not allowed to unilaterally terminate their employment while they are a member of the works council without the council's consent.

ii Trade unions

Trade unions are established by virtue of a resolution adopted by eligible persons (in principle, employees). The trade union acquires legal personality upon registration by the court. Usually, the organisers of a company trade union join an existing nationwide union, which allows them to save on time and formalities and take advantage of the expertise of experienced union activists. Trade unions have powers that are much broader than those of works councils. Generally, as well as the right to be informed and consulted, the trade unions have co-determination rights in certain areas.

Some of the employer's fundamental obligations towards trade unions are:

- a the obligation to agree certain internal regulations with the trade union;
- b the obligation to consult the trade union regarding any collective redundancies;
- c the obligation to inform and in some cases to consult the trade union regarding the issues related to a transfer of undertaking;
- d the obligation to obtain the consent of the trade union to termination of the employment agreement of that trade union's officers (as a primary rule, their exact number is dependent on the number of members of the trade union organisation), or to a change of the terms of their employment;

- e the obligation to obtain the consent of the trade union for termination without notice of employment agreements of employees enjoying special protection (e.g., pregnant employees and employees on maternity leave); and
- f the obligation to consult the trade union before terminating an employment agreement for an unspecified period or changing the terms of employment of employees employed for an unspecified period (no union approval is needed).

In individual matters, the company trade union organisation has the right and obligation to represent individual employees who are members of the organisation or non-members who give their consent to being represented by the organisation. When taking a position regarding the employer in matters concerning collective rights and interests, the company trade union organisation represents all employees.

XI DATA PROTECTION

The basic rules concerning processing of personal data are set forth in the Act on Personal Data Protection of 29 August 1997 (PDP), which transposes into the Polish legal system the terms of Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. The above regulation will be replaced by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)), which enters into force on 25 May 2018.

i Requirements for registration

In principle, there is an obligation to register data-filing systems in a special register kept by the data protection authority (DPA) or kept internally by the employer (if the employer appointed a data protection officer). However, there are several statutory exemptions from the above rule.

The obligation to register data filing systems does not apply to the controllers of data that are processed in connection with employment by the controller or providing services for the controller on the grounds of civil law contracts. The controller is required to keep the documentation describing the method of data processing and implement technical and organisational measures to protect the personal data being processed in a way that is appropriate to the risks and category of data being protected. Only persons who are granted an authorisation by the controller are allowed to carry out the processing of data.

An employee's consent for the employer to process personal data is not necessary if the personal data is processed in relation to the employment agreement (with regard to personal data indicated in Article 221 of the Labour Code).

Under the GDPR employers will be obliged to maintain records of data processing activities, to implement appropriate technical and organisational measures to ensure a level of security of personal data appropriate to the risk and to ensure that an individual who has access to employees' personal data (acting under the authority of the employer) processes it on instructions from the employer.

ii Cross-border data transfers

With respect to cross-border transfers of personal data of employees, registration of the transfers as such with the DPA is not required. However, it is required to obtain DPA's consent for the transfer of personal data, if the destination country does not ensure an adequate level of personal data protection in its territory and if none of the conditions allowing for transfer to such countries, as indicated explicitly in the PDP (e.g., the written consent of the data subject, necessity to perform a contract between the data subject and the controller or the response to the data subject's request) is met. Similar conditions are set forth in GDPR, however it does not impose the obligation to obtain data subject consent in a written form (all forms of the consent are allowed). The consent of the DPA is not required for transfers of personal data to third countries based on EU Model Clauses and for transfers of personal data to US entities that obtain certification of EU–US Privacy Shield Framework. Transfers of personal data can also take place on the basis of the Binding Corporate Rules approved pursuant to procedures established in the PDP/GDPR.

As stated above, consent of the employee may potentially be one of the instances in which the transfer may be effected without the DPA's consent, however, as it may be questioned whether the consent was freely given by an employee based on the nature of the employment relationship, obtaining the DPA's consent to a transfer of the employees' personal data or implementation of EU Model Clauses and Binding Corporate Rules is considered a safe approach.

iii Sensitive data

Polish law generally prohibits the processing of sensitive personal data. It is allowed, as an exception, in cases explicitly indicated in the PDP (e.g., the person whose sensitive data is to be processed expresses his or her consent in writing). Sensitive personal data encompasses data on racial or ethnic origin, political views, religious or philosophical beliefs, religion, membership of political parties or trade unions, medical information, genetic code, addictions, sexual life and criminal records. Employers are allowed and indeed obliged to process particular medical information that relates to occupational health. The GDPR introduces the definition of special categories of personal data. They cover data revealing racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs, or trade union membership, genetic data, biometric data processed for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person, data concerning health or data concerning a natural person's sex life or sexual orientation. Under the GDPR, processing of special categories of personal data will be prohibited and allowed only in certain cases, for instance if it is necessary for the purposes of carrying out the obligations and exercising specific rights of the controller or of the data subject in the field of employment and social security and social protection law insofar as it is authorised by EU or Member State law or a collective agreement pursuant to Member State law providing for appropriate safeguards for the fundamental rights and the interests of the data subject. Processing based on data subject consent will be also allowed and it will not require written form of such consent. Processing of personal data relating to criminal convictions and offences or related security measures can be carried out only under the control of official authority or when the processing is authorised by EU or Member State law providing for appropriate safeguards for the rights and freedoms of data subjects.

iv Background checks

As a principle, unless specific types of jobs are involved, Polish law does not allow background checks on employees, including criminal records or credit checks. The Polish Labour Code specifically lists information that the employer may request from the employee, in particular, date of birth, education, previous employment and parents' names. The government is working on the extension of information that the employer may request form the employee as well as on the extension of the list of professions where criminal records can be checked.

XII DISCONTINUING EMPLOYMENT

i Dismissal

There are three general methods by which employment agreements may be terminated in accordance with the Labour Code:

- a termination by mutual agreement;
- b termination with notice; and
- c termination without notice.

Each type of employment agreement may be terminated at any time by mutual consent of the employee and the employer. In general, such terminations are not subject to the requirement to specify the cause for the termination or to consult with a trade union regarding the termination.

Statutory notice periods are the same for the employee and the employer. Payments in lieu of notice are not allowed (except in certain specific instances, when it is allowed to shorten the notice period). It is admissible to unilaterally release an employee from the obligation to perform work with the right to remuneration retained, but only during the notice period.

Termination of an employment agreement for an unspecified period upon notice by the employer requires just cause, which is defined by the courts as a reason that is true, real, specific and important enough to discontinue the employment relationship.

The reasons for termination may occur either on the part of the employee (i.e., underperformance) or on the part of employer (i.e., liquidation or restructuring). The employer has no duty to specify a reason when terminating fixed-term agreements.

If there are trade unions operating at the employer's business, the employer must seek the trade union's opinion regarding the intention to terminate the employment agreement for an unspecified period for an employee represented by such union. Regardless of the trade union's opinion, however, the employer is free to make the final decision to terminate the employee.

The terminated employee has the right to appeal to a labour court. If the labour court finds the appeal well grounded it may, in principle, reinstate the employee in the job (which also involves payment of some compensation) or award the employee damages in an amount not exceeding three months' remuneration for the given employee.

Polish labour law provides for the special protection of employment for a variety of groups of employees. In practice, the most important of them are trade union activists, employees in the pre-retirement period, pregnant women, employees on parental or childcare leave, and employees on sick leave.

In case of termination of employment for reasons not related to the employee, an employer employing at least 20 employees is obliged to pay statutory severance pay on the same terms and conditions as in case of a collective redundancy (see below).

The Labour Code allows employers to terminate employment agreements immediately without notice in specifically defined situations, such as a serious violation of basic employee duties.

Apart from social security registration issues, individual dismissals are not subject to notification to any government authorities. In respect of individual dismissals, there are no rehire rights. Obligatory offers of suitable alternative employment are only applicable to selected groups of employees. Social plans are not required.

ii Redundancies

Polish law provides for specific rules applicable to termination of employment for reasons not concerning employees, in particular collective redundancies. The provisions of Polish labour law regarding collective redundancy apply if the employer of at least 20 employees intends to terminate – for reasons not attributable to employees – within a period of 30 consecutive days, employment relationships with:

- a at least 10 employees if it employs fewer than 100 employees;
- b at least 10 per cent of the employees if it employs at least 100 but fewer than 300 employees; or
- c at least 30 employees, if it employs at least 300 employees.

If the above limits are not met the redundancies should take the form of individual dismissals.

In the course of collective redundancies, trade unions should be notified in writing of the contemplated dismissals. Further, the company is obliged to consult the unions regarding the intended collective redundancies. The consultation should be carried out with a view to reaching an agreement. The agreement should be concluded within 20 days and should set out the rules of handling the matters concerning the employees to be laid off (including severance and outplacement packages). If the agreement has not been reached, the company should issue a regulation dealing with the matters that were to be regulated in the agreement (the collective redundancies regulation).

If no trade unions operate within the employer's business the information should be delivered to and the consultations should be carried out with employee representatives elected for such purpose.

A contemplated redundancy is subject to an information and consultation procedure with works councils. The relevant regulations do not impose any formal requirements of such information and consultation procedure.

The company is also obliged to provide two notifications to the local employment office on the contemplated collective dismissal measures. The first notification is to be made simultaneously with the notification of trade unions or employee representatives. The second notification should be made after the consultation process has been completed.

If the company intends to terminate employment relations with at least 50 employees within a period of three months, it is obliged to agree with the local employment office on the scope and forms of assistance (outplacement) to employees who are to be made redundant.

In addition, within collective redundancies the termination of employment needs to be effected individually with respect to each employee – either a notice must be provided or a termination agreement signed with the employee. Payments in lieu of notice are required

if the notice is served and the employer shortens the notice period (applicable to cases where the employee is entitled to a three-month notice period, which may be shortened to no less than one month). In case of signing a termination agreement, payments in lieu of notice (understood as the amount equal to the salary an employee does not earn because of agreeing an immediate or a short-term employment expiration date) are allowed. In the case of collective redundancies the employer is obligated to pay statutory severance pay. The amount of statutory severance is fixed in relation to a given employee's aggregate employment record with the employer and ranges from one to three months' remuneration for the employee. The maximum amount of the severance pay is capped in 2018 at 31,500 zlotys gross. Although this is not required under the provisions of the law, employers often grant additional compensation related to termination of employment to employees. The categories of protected employees are slightly narrower than in the case of individual dismissals. In respect of collective redundancies, there are certain limited rehire rights. Obligatory offers of suitable alternative employment are only applicable to selected groups of employees.

XIII TRANSFER OF BUSINESS

Poland has implemented Council Directive 2001/23/EC of 12 March 2001 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the safeguarding of employees' rights in the event of transfers of undertakings, businesses or parts of undertakings or businesses. Under Polish law, the relevant ramifications pertain, in particular, to the sale of an enterprise, a part thereof or selected assets as well as to the lease of an enterprise or premises. The case law of the Polish Supreme Court has specifically confirmed that the transfer of a business may also take place even when no assets are transferred, provided that the business is labour-intensive rather than capital-intensive. This might have a material impact on outsourcing projects, since the transfer of an undertaking could also occur in the event of hiring and changing an external provider or insourcing of previously outsourced tasks.

If the transfer covers the entire business (all employees of the given employer), the transferee becomes liable with regard to the employees for all employment-related obligations that arose before the transfer and the transferor is no longer liable for those obligations. If the transfer pertains only to a part of the employees, both the transferee and the transferor are jointly and severally liable in relation to the transferred employees for the obligations that arose prior to the transfer. Obviously, both employers can and often do agree between themselves on indemnity regulations; however, this does not affect the principles of third-party liability (with regard to the employees).

⁴ Council Directive 2001/23/EC of 12 March 2001 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the safeguarding of employees' rights in the event of transfers of undertakings, businesses or parts of undertakings or businesses [2001] OJ L 82/16.

If there are trade unions operating at the transferor or transferee, they should be notified in writing at least 30 days before the anticipated date of transfer of:

- *a* the contemplated date of the transfer;
- b the reasons for the transfer;
- c the legal, economic and social implications of the transfer for the employees; and
- d any measures envisaged in relation to the terms and conditions of employment including working conditions, remuneration and retraining.

If there are no trade unions at either of the employers, a notification of these details should be made in writing to each individual employee of the employer.

Trade union consultation is required if there are any measures envisaged in relation to changing the terms and conditions of employment. A works council should also be consulted about the contemplated transfer (prior to any binding decision being made in this respect), if such council is established at either the transferor or transferee.

Within two months following the transfer, transferred employees may terminate their employment with seven days' notice. For the employee, termination of employment in accordance with the above procedure shall have the same consequences as those envisaged under labour law for termination of the employment relationship upon notice by the employer.

XIV OUTLOOK

A minimum wage per hour for persons rendering work or services to entrepreneurs under mandate or service agreements has been increased from 13 zlotys to 13.7 zlotys as of 1 January 2018. A minimum monthly wage for persons rendering work under employment contracts has also been increased from 2,000 zlotys to 2,100 zlotys.

The governing conservative and socially oriented Law and Justice Party is working on introducing some further changes including, in particular, the introduction of significant restriction on Sunday work in retail trade, which is expected to come into force in some capacity in 2018. It is also likely that statutory whistle-blower protection will be introduced for the first time.

The government is also finalising work on new laws that will supplement the GDPR and that will amend numerous sectoral laws, including the Labour Code. The new law may change the rules as regards the scope of personal data that the employer may request from employees and may implement provisions on limitations with regard to the employer obtaining consent from employees to process their personal data.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

ROCH PAŁUBICKI

Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak

Roch Pałubicki, attorney-at-law, is a 2001 graduate of the Adam Mickiewicz University Law School in Poznań. Mr Pałubicki is a partner in charge of the employment law department of the Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak law firm where he has worked since 2000. During his 17 years of practice at the firm he has gained expertise within various aspects of Polish and European employment and social security laws with a particular focus on employee incentives, management contracts, employment aspects of M&A transactions (including transfer of employees), working time and non-competition. He has taken part in the implementation of hundreds of employee and management shareholding programmes. Mr Pałubicki participates in conducting and resolving collective disputes, including as a member of the Social Arbitration Panel. He also advises clients in collective and individual redundancies and has supervised major projects related to company closures. Over the past several years Mr Pałubicki has gained a unique experience in negotiations with trade unions, works councils and other employee representatives. He represents clients in employment and social security litigation. Mr Pałubicki speaks fluent English.

FILIP SODULSKI

Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak

Filip Sodulski, attorney-at-law, is a graduate of the Łódź University Law School. He joined Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak in 2016. Mr Sodulski assists clients in all aspects of labour law, including transfers of employees, collective redundancies, employers' internal regulations and policies, harassment and discrimination matters. He has an extensive practice counselling employers on establishing and terminating employment relationships with executives. He also represents clients in employment and social security cases. Mr Sodulski is fluent in English.

SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK

ul. Jasna 26 00-054 Warsaw Poland

Tel: +48 22 608 7000 Fax: +48 22 608 7070 roch.palubicki@skslegal.pl filip.sodulski@skslegal.pl www.skslegal.pl



ISBN 978-1-912228-17-1