
THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

FOURTH EDITION

EDITOR
TIM SANDERS

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in
The Inward Investment and International Taxation Review, 4th edition
(published in January 2014 – editor Tim Sanders).

For further information please email
nick.barette@lbresearch.com

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

Fourth Edition

Editor
TIM SANDERS

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

PUBLISHER
Gideon Robertson

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS
Adam Sargent, Nick Barette

MARKETING MANAGERS
Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee, James Spearing, Felicity Bown

PUBLISHING ASSISTANT
Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT
Chloe Mclauchlan

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT
Eve Ryle-Hodges

HEAD OF PRODUCTION
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Jo Morley

SUBEDITOR
Tim Beaver

MANAGING DIRECTOR
Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK
© 2014 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of January 2014, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-907606-90-8

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

A&L GOODBODY

ABOU JAOUDE & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

ÆLEX

AFRIDI & ANGELL

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW JURIDIA BÜTZOW LTD

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BIRIŞ GORAN SCPA

BLP

CHIOMENTI STUDIO LEGALE

CLERIDES ANASTASSIOU NEOPHYTOU LLC

CLIFFORD CHANCE LLP

D'EMPAIRE REYNA ABOGADOS

DAVID GRISCTI & ASSOCIATES

DESPACHO PARÁS SC

ENSAFRICA

GORRISSEN FEDERSPIEL

GRAU ABOGADOS

GREENWOODS & FREEHILLS

GRETTE DA
HERGÜNER BILGEN ÖZEKE ATTORNEY PARTNERSHIP
HERZOG FOX & NEEMAN
HOMBURGER AG
LEE & KO
LL SKATTEANALYS ADVOKATBYRÅ AB
LOYENS & LOEFF
MKONO & CO ADVOCATES IN ASSOCIATION WITH SNR DENTON
MOCHTAR KARUWIN KOMAR
MOTIEKA & AUDZEVIČIUS
NISHIMURA & ASAHI
NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES
PEPELIAEV GROUP
QUEVEDO & PONCE
RÖDL & PARTNER
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZŁĘZAK
SRS AVOGADOS
URÍA MENÉNDEZ
VEIRANO AVOGADOS
WILSON & PARTNERS LLP

CONTENTS

Editor's Prefacevii
	<i>Tim Sanders</i>
Chapter 1	AUSTRALIA.....1
	<i>Adrian O'Shannessy and Andrew Mills</i>
Chapter 2	BELGIUM.....16
	<i>Christian Chéruy and Marc Dhaene</i>
Chapter 3	BRAZIL37
	<i>Silvania Tognetti</i>
Chapter 4	CANADA51
	<i>Wilson & Partners LLP</i>
Chapter 5	CHINA.....71
	<i>Jon Eichelberger</i>
Chapter 6	COSTA RICA.....85
	<i>Vittoria Di Gioacchino</i>
Chapter 7	CYPRUS96
	<i>Stavros Clerides</i>
Chapter 8	DENMARK.....112
	<i>Jakob Skaadstrup Andersen</i>
Chapter 9	ECUADOR128
	<i>Alejandro Ponce Martínez</i>
Chapter 10	EUROPEAN UNION.....140
	<i>David Harkness and Etienne Wong</i>

Chapter 11	FINLAND	157
	<i>Kirsi Hiltunen and Jussi Aranne</i>	
Chapter 12	FRANCE	171
	<i>Philippe Derouin</i>	
Chapter 13	GERMANY	197
	<i>Hans R Weggenmann</i>	
Chapter 14	INDIA	209
	<i>TP Janani, Megha Ramani and Rajesh Simhan</i>	
Chapter 15	INDONESIA.....	228
	<i>Mulyana and Sandi Adila</i>	
Chapter 16	IRELAND.....	244
	<i>Peter Maher</i>	
Chapter 17	ISRAEL.....	265
	<i>Meir Linzen</i>	
Chapter 18	ITALY	279
	<i>Paolo Giacometti and Giuseppe Andrea Giannantonio</i>	
Chapter 19	JAPAN	295
	<i>Michito Kitamura and Tsuyoshi Ito</i>	
Chapter 20	KOREA.....	310
	<i>Young-uk Park and Miri Lim</i>	
Chapter 21	LEBANON	324
	<i>Souraya Machnouk, Hachem El Housseini, Ziad Maatouk and Halim Abou Rjaily</i>	
Chapter 22	LITHUANIA.....	337
	<i>Mantas Juozaitis and Edvinas Lenkauskas</i>	

Chapter 23	LUXEMBOURG	352
	<i>Pieter Stalman and Chiara Bardini</i>	
Chapter 24	MALTA	369
	<i>David Griscti</i>	
Chapter 25	MEXICO	385
	<i>Jorge Covarrubias Bravo and Carl E Koller Lucio</i>	
Chapter 26	NETHERLANDS	404
	<i>Marc Klerks, Renée van der Maat and Louis Lutz</i>	
Chapter 27	NIGERIA.....	419
	<i>Theophilus I Emuwa, Chinyerugo Ugoji and Kingsley Amaefule</i>	
Chapter 28	NORWAY	430
	<i>Thomas E Alnæs, and Elisa Løvik Hovde</i>	
Chapter 29	PERU	444
	<i>César Castro Salinas and Rodrigo Flores Benavides</i>	
Chapter 30	POLAND	459
	<i>Jarostaw Bieroński</i>	
Chapter 31	PORTUGAL.....	487
	<i>Paula Rosado Pereira and José Pedroso de Melo</i>	
Chapter 32	ROMANIA	502
	<i>Gabriel Biriş and Ruxandra Jianu</i>	
Chapter 33	RUSSIA.....	521
	<i>Andrey Tereschenko</i>	
Chapter 34	SOUTH AFRICA.....	532
	<i>Peter Dachs, Bernard du Plessis and Magda Snyckers</i>	

Chapter 35	SPAIN.....553 <i>José Gabriel Martínez Paños</i>
Chapter 36	SWEDEN566 <i>Lennart Larsson</i>
Chapter 37	SWITZERLAND584 <i>Reto Heuberger and Stefan Oesterhelt</i>
Chapter 38	TANZANIA.....598 <i>Nimrod E Mkono and Ofotsu A Tetteh-Kujorjie</i>
Chapter 39	TURKEY611 <i>Yeşim Api Şamlı, Zeliha Deniz Günay and Umut Özdoğan</i>
Chapter 40	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.....625 <i>Gregory J Mayew and Silvia A Pretorius</i>
Chapter 41	UNITED KINGDOM.....640 <i>Tim Sanders</i>
Chapter 42	UNITED STATES663 <i>Hal Hicks, Moshe Spinowitz and Robert C Stevenson</i>
Chapter 43	VENEZUELA.....687 <i>Alberto Benshimol and Humberto Romero-Muci</i>
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....703
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS.....731

EDITOR'S PREFACE

The taxation of cross-border corporate structures is highly topical. Companies such as Starbucks, Google and Amazon have become the centre of a great deal of unwanted attention. Governments faced with depressed economies and falling tax revenues have turned their attention to what they perceive as a growing trend for multinational companies to push their activities into low- or no-tax jurisdictions. This perception led to the G20 asking the OECD to create an action plan that culminated in July 2013 with the publication of the OECD Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Sharing (the Report). The Report acknowledges the increase in cross-border trade, facilitated by factors such as the removal of trade barriers and the use of technology, which make it ever easier for businesses to locate production far from the jurisdictions in which their customers are located. The Report identifies the fact that the trend is influenced by tax considerations, the more aggressive aspects of which are clearly going to come under increasing scrutiny. The Report identifies the need to tighten rules on transfer pricing, to end or neutralise tax arbitrage arrangements, and to prevent companies artificially avoiding establishing permanent establishments, and also identifies areas for action, such as increasing disclosure requirements. In all, the Report identifies 15 areas that are likely to dramatically change the tax landscape for companies and businesses operating in the global economy.

Despite this backdrop of uncertainty and the threat of increasingly complex rules with penalties for those companies that move jobs and economic activity elsewhere in a manner deemed unacceptable, companies will continue to trade in the global economy and across borders. This requires, more than ever before, not only detailed evaluation and comparison of the tax benefits and incentives available in competing jurisdictions, but also consideration of the tax consequences of moving capital and income flows across international borders. Consideration of such cross-border tax opportunities, issues and conflicts between tax systems requires business tax advisers to be increasingly aware of tax laws beyond the geographical boundaries of the country in which they practise.

The aim of this book is to provide a starting point for readers, and to assist businesses and advisers, each chapter providing topical and current insights from leading experts on

the tax issues and opportunities in their respective jurisdictions (and, in one chapter, within the European Union). While specific tax advice is always essential, it is also necessary to have a broad understanding of the nature of the potential issues and advantages that lie ahead; this book provides a guide to these.

I should like to thank the contributors to this book for their time and efforts, and above all for their expertise. I would also like to thank the publisher and the team for their support and patience. I hope that you find the work useful, and any comments or suggestions for improvement that can be incorporated into any future editions will be gratefully received.

The views expressed in this book are those of the authors and not of their firms, the editor or the publishers. Every endeavour has been made to ensure that what you read is the latest intelligence.

Tim Sanders

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP

London

January 2014

Chapter 30

POLAND

*Jarosław Bieroński*¹

I INTRODUCTION

Since Poland joined the European Union on 1 May 2004, Polish domestic law has been harmonised with EU legislation and the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union, and all EU tax directives have been implemented.²

In addition, foreign and local income is protected in Poland against double taxation through an extensive network of 91 double taxation treaties (DTTs) that are based on the OECD Model Convention. Whenever such a treaty does not exempt income from taxation, or in the absence of a treaty, the tax credit system applies, including the full tax credit for underlying tax applicable to Polish companies receiving foreign (inbound) dividends from countries outside the EU. As a result, in many such cases, no corporate tax would apply in Poland, since the Polish Corporate Income Tax Law (the CITL) sets a tax rate of 19 per cent, which is lower than tax rates in many countries.

Income derived from economic activities conducted in the Polish territory within special economic zones (SEZs) (i.e., areas designated for the purposes of running businesses on preferential terms) is tax-exempt. Furthermore, large and small investments that are crucial to the Polish economy may also find support through a number of government

1 Jarosław Bieroński is a partner at Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak.

2 Including Council Directive 2009/133/EC of 19 October 2009 on the common system of taxation applicable to mergers, divisions, partial divisions, transfers of assets and exchanges of shares concerning companies of different Member States, and to the transfer of the registered office of an SE or SCE between Member States; Council Directive 90/435/EEC on the common system of taxation applicable in the case of parent companies and subsidiaries of different Member States; and Council Directive 2003/49/EC on a common system of taxation applicable to interest and royalty payments made between associated companies of different Member States.

and other state aid programmes aimed at fostering investment or the creation of new workplaces. Flexible thin capitalisation rules also encourage investors to finance local businesses with the use of debt, including intra-group loans.

II COMMON FORMS OF BUSINESS ORGANISATION AND THEIR TAX TREATMENT

In Poland business activity may be pursued by any person acting either as a sole entrepreneur or a capital (corporate) company or partnership, as envisaged in the Polish Commercial Companies Code. Foreign entrepreneurs with their registered office in the EU, EFTA or EEA may perform their business activity in Poland in compliance with the rules and principles set forth for Polish entrepreneurs. In turn, foreign entrepreneurs with their registered office in other countries may perform their business activity in Poland through a limited partnership, a partnership issuing shares, a limited liability company or a joint-stock company only. Foreign entrepreneurs may also perform their business activity in Poland through a local branch.

i Corporate

Corporate companies (i.e., limited liability companies and joint-stock companies) obtain legal personality upon court registration. The limited liability company is most frequently used for doing business in Poland. It may be established for any legitimate purpose by one or more persons, except when the company's sole shareholder is another limited liability company with one shareholder only. Shares of a limited company may not be traded on a stock exchange or on a public market. In turn, a joint-stock company features more advanced corporate instruments, such as convertible bonds, authorised but not issued capital, founders' certificates and non-voting shares. Its operations and management are subject to more stringent requirements than the operations of a limited liability company. In addition, the value of all in-kind contributions to the share capital of a joint-stock company is subject to a mandatory verification by court experts. The registered share certificates may be issued prior to the full coverage of shares by shareholders. Bearer shares may be listed on stock exchanges and traded on a public market. The form of a joint-stock company is mandatory for the conduct of certain types of activities (e.g., banking or insurance). Joint-stock companies floated on the Warsaw Stock Exchange must comply with additional informational obligations envisaged by pertinent laws and stock regulations.

Corporate companies (even prior to their court registration) and other organisational units, with or without legal personality (with the exception of partnerships), are taxpayers liable to corporate income tax (CIT). Corporate taxpayers that have their registered office or place of their management in Poland are liable to CIT on their worldwide income. If a corporate taxpayer does not have its registered office or place of management in Poland, the tax is levied only on the income derived in Poland, unless an applicable DTT provides otherwise.

Capital companies may also establish a 'tax group' (tax unit) – a group of two or more capital companies treated as a single CIT payer, which must first satisfy numerous conditions.

Due to attractive tax treatment, foreign and local investors also use Poland-based close-ended investment funds and qualified foreign-regulated mutual investment funds from the EU and the EEA (they must be managed by a company operating under a permit granted by the competent financial sector supervision authority of the country of the fund's seat), and regulated investment companies from the EU and the EEA operating upon a simple notice of initiation of investment activities. Such local and foreign funds and regulated investment companies are entirely exempt from Polish CIT on any local and foreign income. Although such funds or regulated companies may not conduct operational business activities, they may invest in real property, in shares issued by local companies and limited partnerships issuing shares, and in other securities. Therefore, if they invest in shares issued by such a local partnership that is tax-transparent in Poland, no CIT is levied on profits derived through the partnership in proportion to interest held by such a fund in the partnership. In addition, the Polish administrative courts issued encouraging judgments stating that narrowing the tax exemption to income of regulated investment funds only from Poland and other EU or EEA Member States violated Article 56 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). According to this article, taxpayers from third countries should be treated equally to taxpayers from the EU, and therefore income of investment funds from countries outside the EU or EEA Member States should also be exempted from Polish CIT pursuant to Article 56 of the TFEU, which may be applied by foreign funds directly.

ii Non-corporate

Local and foreign investors may conduct business activities through a partnership, which, in general, may have one of the following forms: civil law partnership, general partnership, professional partnership, limited partnership or limited partnership issuing shares (limited-stock partnership). General and limited partnerships are most commonly used. Recently, limited partnerships issuing shares have also become popular, as they are used by close-ended investment funds for carrying out income tax-exempt business activities. In turn, civil law partnerships are established for small businesses only. All types of partnerships are income tax-transparent. Therefore, partners are liable to income tax on profits derived through their partnership proportionally to their interests in the partnership's profits. Creation and liquidation of partnerships are subject to special tax rules, and income from withdrawal of a partner from a partnership or from liquidation of a partnership is tax-exempt under those rules. However, according to a recent court ruling, payments received by a partner in a partnership, without withdrawal of the partner from the partnership, will not be tax-exempt if such payment represents partnership's income not taxed while derived by the partnership.

In general, partnerships may be taxpayers for purposes of other Polish taxes, including VAT, excise tax, customs duty, tax on civil law transactions or real estate tax (local taxes).

III DIRECT TAXATION OF BUSINESSES

i Tax on profits

Determination of taxable profit

CIT is payable at the rate of 19 per cent on all income derived from whichever source of income, subject to certain exemptions. Taxable income is defined by tax rules as an excess of all items of the taxable income over costs of such income in a given tax year. The taxable income is not equal to an accounting profit. In addition, it may include income from gratuitous services and imputed income. For example, according to interpretative guidelines issued by the Minister of Finance a surety or guarantee issued by a shareholder without remuneration to secure a payment of debts of its corporate company constitutes taxable income of such company. In principle, income from business activities is taxable on the accrual basis. Expenses incurred in order to derive taxable income are deductible unless they are listed in Article 16 of the CITL enumerating non-deductible costs. Non-deductible costs include expenses for acquisition of land or perpetual usufruct of land, which may not be depreciated, but may be deducted upon sale of such assets, purchase costs of shares and securities until a day of their sale or redemption, certain expenses for promotion, compensations and contractual damages, any donations, costs incurred for tax-exempt income or depreciation write-offs pertaining to know-how contributed in-kind to the stated capital of the company, and other costs.

In addition, the tax rules encouraging the settlement of bad debts require debtors to increase the taxable basis by the amount of costs deducted but not paid on time within 30 days of the day they became payable.

According to case law, company share capital increase is tax-exempt, and, therefore, costs associated with such increase are non-deductible. However, the costs should be solely narrowed to expenses that are directly connected with such an increase (e.g., notarial or court fees), and not to costs connected with general functioning of a company or its business activity generating taxable income (e.g., advisory fees) that should be deductible.

Generally, fixed assets (buildings, constructions, machinery and equipment, and vehicles) owned by a taxpayer, acquired or constructed may be depreciated if their projected service life exceeds one year, they are completed and fit for use when they are placed in service; and if they are used for business activities by the taxpayer or a third party on the basis of a rental, lease or similar agreement with the taxpayer.

The following may also be depreciated, regardless of their projected useful life:

- a* leasehold improvements placed in service (leasehold improvements);
- b* buildings and constructions developed on land owned by a third party; and
- c* buildings, constructions and other assets constituting a separate freehold used by a taxpayer for business activities on the basis of a financial lease agreement.

Taxpayers may also depreciate certain intangible assets like computer software, copyrights, licences and goodwill.

Interest on loans received to finance acquisitions or development of depreciable fixed or intangible assets accrued by a borrower before the assets are placed in service is subject to depreciation rather than full deduction. Generally, rates of deductible depreciation write-offs are prescribed by local tax regulations. However, taxpayers may

set depreciation rates within certain limits for fixed assets used or improved, and for intangible assets. The minimum depreciation periods for intangible assets are:

- a* 24 months for licences for computer software, copyrights, films, radio and television programmes;
- b* 12 months for R&D costs; and
- c* 60 months for goodwill and other intangible assets.

The depreciation rates for intangible assets, once established, may not be changed. Goodwill may be depreciated only in the cases of acquisition of enterprise or its organised part, namely where it is purchased on the basis of a sale agreement, or where the enterprise or its organised part is subject to a financial lease arrangement and is depreciated by the lessee pursuant to applicable rules, or where enterprise or its organised part is contributed in-kind to a local company under the Privatisation Law.

After a statutory merger or demerger, acquiring companies should continue depreciation of fixed and intangible assets of the target company on the basis of the same depreciation value, rates and methods. This also applies in cases of contribution in-kind of an enterprise or its organised part to a company. Depreciation of fixed and intangible assets contributed to partnerships is subject to special tax rules.

Capital and income

There is no capital gain tax in Poland. Capital gains from a disposal of shares and other securities, real property and other forms of capital represent ordinary income subject to CIT. In principle, capital expenses may be offset against capital gains upon sale of such assets.

Losses

Where costs of revenues exceed total taxable revenues, including aggregated revenues from interests in one or more partnerships, in a given tax year, the difference will represent a tax loss. Such loss may be carried forward against income derived in the next five consecutive tax years. However, in any of those five years, the loss from the given year may be deducted in part not exceeding 50 per cent of that loss. It is not possible to carry losses back, offsetting them against prior year income. Tax losses are linked with the legal entity that incurred them. Therefore, in cases of mergers, acquisitions (including purchases of an enterprise) and divisions, an acquiring entity may not carry forward tax losses incurred by a target business prior to such a transaction. Conversely, the acquiring entity may carry forward its tax losses incurred prior to the transaction.

Capital losses may be carried forward under the same rules applicable to ordinary losses.

Rates

CIT is chargeable at the rate of 19 per cent. In addition, outbound dividends are subject to local withholding tax at 19 per cent, and outbound royalty and interest payments are subject to local withholding tax at 20 per cent, unless a pertinent DTT sets out a lower rate. There is no proposed legislation aimed at a change of CIT rates after 2014.

Administration

The fiscal year is equal to the calendar year, unless a taxpayer selects another period of 12 consecutive months and notifies the tax office by 30 January of a given year.

Polish taxpayers are obligated to pay corporate tax advances on a monthly basis, however, without an obligation to file with the tax office monthly CIT returns. They may also decide to pay monthly tax advances in a simplified form, in the amount of one-twelfth of the tax due disclosed in the annual CIT return filed during the preceding tax year. All taxpayers are only obliged to file one annual CIT return within three months from the end of each tax year, and pay the difference between the tax due and the sum of tax advances paid from the beginning of the tax year.

The taxation system is uniform across Poland (outside small differences in local taxes only). Foreign and local companies and individuals pay the same taxes. The Polish tax system is administered by:

- a* heads of tax offices, who supervise the collection of taxes in their territories, audit taxpayers and issue individual administrative decisions;
- b* heads of tax control offices, who perform taxation and procedural checks on fiscal settlements;
- c* heads of tax chambers, who supervise the heads of tax offices; they are empowered to review administrative decisions of tax offices and tax control offices; certain heads of tax chambers have also been authorised to issue private tax rulings on behalf of the Minister of Finance;
- d* the Minister of Finance, who is responsible for budgetary policy and supervises the entire taxation system; it, ex officio or upon the request of taxpayers and other entities, issues general guidelines applicable to tax rules that in the opinion of the Minister require uniform interpretation, issues private tax rulings in taxation cases upon request of taxpayers and withholding tax agents, and examines tax cases that require that final tax decisions be declared null and void, or tax proceedings already closed be exceptionally resumed; and
- e* local self-government authorities that are responsible for collection of local taxes.

Taxpayers may, within 14 days, appeal against a tax decision or a private tax ruling of the local tax authority. Afterwards, a complaint against the tax decision or a private tax ruling may be submitted, within 30 days, to the district administrative court, and subsequently to the Supreme Administrative Court of Poland (the NSA).

Tax grouping

Tax advantages of a few single companies may become apparent in the case of creation of a 'tax group', which may compensate losses of some of its members with profits of the remaining members. The tax group may be formed by corporations that meet the following conditions:

- a* they are limited liability or joint-stock companies incorporated in Poland;
- b* the average share capital of the member companies may not be less than 1 million zlotys;
- c* in principle, a parent company must directly hold 95 per cent of the shares of the subsidiary companies;

- d* the subsidiary companies may not hold shares in other subsidiary companies being members of the tax group; and
- e* before joining the tax group, the companies do not have any tax arrears towards the State Treasury budget.

Once the tax group is formed, the following additional requirements must be met:

- a* members of the tax group may not be exempted from CIT;
- b* the ratio of taxable income of the tax group to its total revenue in each tax year must be at least 3 per cent; and
- c* members of the tax group need to price transactions with related entities from outside the group at arm's length according to Polish transfer pricing rules.

Violation of any of these conditions results in the dissolution of the tax group. It is also dissolved at the end of a period for which the group is established.

The parent company and the subsidiaries that establish the tax group need to do so for at least three years by their agreement in the form of a notarial deed to be registered with the tax office.

Each member of the tax group should calculate its profits or losses separately in accordance with ordinary rules. The taxable income of the tax group is defined as an excess of total taxable profits of members of the group over losses of the other members during the tax year. However, tax losses or profits from years before or after the life of the tax group may not be offset against income or losses of the tax group. The tax group is a taxpayer liable to its CIT at the regular rate of 19 per cent, which should be withheld by a designated member of the group, but for which tax all members of the tax group may be held liable jointly and severally. If the total losses of the members exceed their total profits, a difference represents a tax loss of the tax group. However, in practice, such a situation violates the 3 per cent profitability requirement for the tax group, and triggers the end of the tax group as of the date the group files its annual tax return for its given tax year.

Due to the required 3 per cent profit-to-revenue ratio, the benefits of creation of the tax group are generally seen as rather strict in comparison to the potential 'fruits' of the creation of such a group.

ii Other relevant taxes

Other taxes in Poland are:

- a* tax on goods and services (VAT);
- b* excise tax;
- c* stamp duty;
- d* tax on civil law transactions;
- e* real estate tax and other local taxes;
- f* tonnage tax;
- g* gambling tax;
- h* donation and inheritance tax (which, however, does not apply to legal persons); and
- i* tax on mines.

When joining the EU in 2004, Poland harmonised Polish VAT with the EU VAT legislation, including Council Directive 2006/112/EC of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax. Pursuant to Article 5, Section 1 of the Polish VAT Law, in principle, supply of goods (*inter alia*, intra-Community acquisitions and supplies of goods) and provision of services against consideration in the territory of Poland are subject to Polish VAT at the rate of 23 per cent. Gratuitous services and supplies of goods without remuneration may be also taxable. For some goods and services VAT rates have been reduced to zero per cent,³ 8 per cent,⁴ 7 per cent,⁵ 5 per cent⁶ and 4 per cent.⁷ Certain services, including education, medical services, insurance, granting and management of loans, dealing in securities and certain other services are subject to a VAT exemption and the service provider may not deduct input VAT in such cases.

Pursuant to the above EU Directive, Poland has exercised the option that any transfer of an enterprise or organised part of enterprise is outside the scope of Polish VAT. In addition, input VAT on purchases of engine fuels and gas for passenger and other cars with a weight capacity not exceeding 3.5 tonnes used for business is not deductible. Deductions of input VAT on purchase of such cars should be limited to 60 per cent of such VAT (not more than 6,000 zlotys). VAT may be fully deducted with respect to purchases and importation of certain cars (and to fuel to them) that have (1) open space for transportation of cargo or such space exceeds 50 per cent of car's length or have minimum required loading capacity (in principle, of 500 kilograms) or (2) a minimum number of seats such as minibuses and buses; and, with respect to vans, certain special vehicles such as emergency road service cars, cars manufactured or purchased by VAT payers within their standard business activities involving manufacturing or supplies of cars (e.g., car manufacturers or car dealers) and other cars that are not defined as passenger vehicles in their Polish official certification of approval.

In principle, only suppliers (importers) of goods and services are taxpayers obliged to pay VAT on their supplies; however, a VAT payer who purchased certain goods⁸ may be jointly and severally liable with a supplier of such goods for a payment of VAT chargeable on that supply if the value of goods supplied between those parties exceeds 50,000 Polish zlotys in a given month and the purchaser knows or should reasonably know that the supplier is not going to pay VAT on that supply. The purchaser will not be held liable if

3 For example, export and intra-Community supplies of goods, sea and air transportation, international transportation and related services.

4 For example, some groceries, fertilisers, health-care products; sport, recreation and culture events; some services in agriculture and forestry; construction services related to development of public residential buildings and apartments.

5 For example, farming activities.

6 For example, printed books and specialist journals, some groceries, ready-made meals.

7 For example, taxi or cab transportation.

8 In principle, steel pipes for fuel and gas pipelines, fuels (except for fuels purchased at petrol stations) and gold (including gold sand, and gold self-products),

the supplier provided the tax authorities with the required security for the payment of VAT (for example, cash deposit, bank guarantee or insurance policy).

The Polish VAT Law, as amended from 1 January 2010, states that services provided by Polish suppliers to foreign service recipients are not subject to Polish VAT, and are subject to VAT in the country where the recipient of services has its seat or fixed establishment, provided that the recipient is registered for VAT in that country.

Excise tax was introduced in 1993 together with VAT. The new Excise Tax Act is harmonised with the respective EU regulations. The tax is charged on certain supplies of goods, including intra-Community acquisitions and supplies of goods in Poland.

Excise tax is imposed on certain transactions performed by the taxable entity, *inter alia*, involving:

- a* import, intra-Community acquisition and the first domestic sale of passenger cars that are not registered in Poland; and
- b* import, intra-Community acquisition, production, transfer to a tax warehouse, domestic supplies and use of certain engine fuels and gas, heating fats, oils and gas, coal products, other energy products, electric energy, and alcohol and tobacco products listed in Attachment 1 to the Excise Tax Law, including the use of goods exempted from excise tax because of their intended use if they are used contrary to their intended use.

Excise tax is calculated either as a percentage of the value of taxable goods (or their customs duty value) or as a flat fee per the quantity basis (fee per unit).

Stamp duty is payable in nominal amounts on certain acts and documents, including:

- a* official applications;
- b* official acts;
- c* certificates;
- d* permits; and
- e* certain documents (e.g., powers of attorney presented in administrative and court proceedings).

Stamp duty rates are determined in relevant schedules to the Stamp Duty Act and paid in stamp duty signs, in cash or by a bank transfer, depending on the amount.

Tax on civil law transactions is a capital (transfer) tax levied on certain civil law transactions and certain legal acts and their amendments, in particular, on sale and exchange of goods and property rights agreements, loan agreements, on setting up a mortgage, establishing a corporate company or partnership, and increasing the company's share capital, additional shareholder payments or loans. The tax is due if related goods are situated or property rights are exercised in Poland, or their purchaser has its residence in Poland, and the transaction itself takes place in Poland. With few exceptions, this tax is not payable if the transaction is subject to VAT, even though VAT-exempt.

Civil law transactions tax rates are either fixed or *ad valorem*. The rates include:

- a* 2 per cent of the market value of the subject of the transaction on sale or exchange of a real estate, perpetual usufruct right or moveable goods;
- b* 1 per cent of the market value of the subject of the transaction on sale or exchange of other property rights, including shares in companies;

- c* 0.5 per cent of the par value of the share capital on establishment of a corporation or partnership or increase in a share capital; and
- d* 2 per cent of the principal amount of loans.

A number of tax exemptions apply, including tax exemption on loans extended by a direct shareholder to its company and by non-residents of Poland conducting business activities that encompass extending of loans. In addition, an exchange of majority shares in one company for new shares issued by another company is tax-exempt if all companies in such a transaction are located in one or more EU Member States. The tax exemption applies also to an in-kind contribution of enterprise or its organised part to the stated capital of a local capital company as well as to mergers or transformations of such local capital companies.

Local taxes include:

- a* real estate tax;
- b* transportation tax (imposed only on lorries and trucks);
- c* marketplace tax;
- d* agricultural tax;
- e* forestry tax;
- f* dog owner tax; and
- g* sanatorium tax.

Local self-governments are entitled to establish rates for certain taxes within the limits set by law. The most important local tax is the real estate tax, which is paid annually (in monthly instalments) by an owner or possessor of real property and constructions and their parts, including devices and equipment facilities, connected with business activities. For real properties used for business the maximum tax rates in 2014 are 23.03 zlotys per square metre for buildings connected with business and 0.89 zlotys per square metre of land. In addition to defined exemptions, local self-government bodies, at their discretion, may establish further tax exemptions and their conditions with a view to attracting investors and businesses to invest in certain regions of Poland.

Tonnage tax is imposed on navigation enterprises rendering international sea ship services in transportation of goods and passengers, sea tugboat and sea tow services, sea lifeboat and rescue service, deepening of the sea bottom, as well as certain other services connected with the foregoing, such as sale of goods and services on ships, currencies exchange, management of passenger and cargo terminals, loading, unloading and reloading of cargo and ship chartering. Tonnage tax is chargeable to the extent that the navigation enterprises uses ships with a tonnage gross (GT) capacity exceeding 100GT, and provided that it selected to be the taxpayer of this tax, instead of CIT, for at least five years. The tax is chargeable at 19 per cent on total lump-sum income calculated as an aggregated product of the total net capacity (as determined in international measurement certificate) of each ship used for the taxable services, and rates decreasing from €0.50 to €0.10 per each 100 tonnes of net capacity of each ship per day. In addition, such ship owners pay the tax at 15 per cent of gross proceeds from sale of ships if such proceeds are not reinvested into purchase, reconstruction or modernisation of ships within three years. They are exempted from CIT.

Gambling tax is imposed on businesses organising gambling activities (casino roulette, card games and gambling, bingo games, various lotteries, mutual bids, slot machines, etc.), except for promotion lotteries and poker tournaments; it is also imposed on individual participants in poker tournaments. Tax rates differ with respect to each gambling activity and game, and vary from 2.5 per cent to 50 per cent of proceeds from given activities.

The inheritance and donation tax is levied on natural persons only, and depends on the tax bracket, which in turn depends on the degree of relationship between a donor and a donated party. The first two brackets pertain to relatives; the third one to other persons. The tax rates for the first bracket are 3 per cent to 7 per cent; for the second, 7 per cent to 12 per cent; and for the third, 12 per cent to 20 per cent. There also apply tax exemptions regarding certain assets and regarding inheritance or donations of all assets between certain family members .

The tax on mines, implemented in 2012, is imposed on copper and silver mining. For copper, the tax rate amounts to $0.033 \times \text{average copper price} + (0.001 \times \text{average copper price}) \times 2.5$ and applies to each tonne of copper mined by a taxpayer, or included in copper concentrate produced by the taxpayer. For silver, the tax rate amounts to $0.125 \times \text{average silver price} + (0.001 \times \text{average silver price}) \times 4$ and applies to each kilogramme of silver mined by a taxpayer, or included in silver concentrate produced by the taxpayer. If the average prices of copper or silver drop down below the statutory determined thresholds, higher tax rates will apply, with the minimum tax rate of 5 per cent of the average price of copper and, respectively, silver. The tax should be declared and paid to the proper customs chamber for each month, within 25 days of the following month.

IV TAX RESIDENCE AND FISCAL DOMICILE

i Corporate residence

Companies, other legal persons and organisational units without legal personality (e.g., tax units), except for partnerships, are taxpayers liable to CIT. Taxpayers having their seat or place of management in Poland are tax residents liable to CIT on worldwide income. Other taxpayers are non-residents liable only to tax on income derived in Poland unless an applicable DTT states differently. An entity incorporated outside Poland may become a Polish tax resident if its place of management is relocated to the territory of Poland. Conversely, a locally incorporated entity may cease to be the Polish tax resident if its place of management is relocated from Poland to another jurisdiction. In order to determine under Polish rules where an entity has its place of management, one must look at where the entity is actually managed, namely, where decisions in the entity's matters are actually taken. It is a question of facts rather than law. Any legal deeds or other formal indicators of a place where the entity is managed and where decisions are made (e.g., relevant rules in articles of association or management agreements executed with the entity) may be considered by the tax authorities, but they are neither final nor prevailing in determination of the place of management. In practice, however, there exists no tax or court ruling in which local tax authorities would claim that a given entity became or ceased to be the Polish tax resident due to relocation of a place of its actual management. Nevertheless, the place of actual management may be effectively moved for tax purposes.

In many cases, such movement could not be challenged by the tax authorities of the exit jurisdiction, since many or even most DTTs executed by Poland state that in cases of disputes regarding where a corporate taxpayer is tax resident, it has to be considered tax resident of the contracting state in which it has a place of management.

A company incorporated in any EU Member State, including European companies (SEs), may also become the Polish tax resident, and a company incorporated in Poland may relocate to another EU Member State by way of a statutory merger between such companies. The SE and the European cooperative society (SCE) may also move their corporate seat between the EU Member States. Another Polish company would have to open its liquidation procedure if it decided to move its seat to another country.

In Poland, no corporate immigration or emigration taxes are levied on entities that become or cease to be Polish tax residents.

ii Branch or permanent establishment

A foreign entrepreneur has its fiscal presence in Poland and is liable to Polish income tax if it derives income locally through its permanent establishment (PE) in Poland in the meaning of local definitions or the pertinent DTT. The PE is defined as a fixed place where the business activity of the foreign entrepreneur is conducted, in part or in whole, in Poland. The PE is created, in particular, if the foreign entrepreneur has a place of management, a branch office or a workshop in Poland.

The PE frequently exists in the form of a local branch office. A locally registered partnership of one or more of its non-resident partners may also constitute their PE. A PE may also be created without such formal presence in Poland, in particular where a foreign entrepreneur second to Poland an employee authorised to conclude agreements in Poland on behalf of the foreign entrepreneur and such individual customarily exercises such authorisation.

In practice, activities of the foreign entrepreneur will create the PE if they are conducted in Poland permanently. Therefore, such establishment does not exist if activities generating local income are performed outside Poland on a permanent basis rather than in Poland. However, neither the Polish domestic regulations nor DTTs define the permanency of the local activities; thus, activities conducted for a few months (e.g., six months) may create the PE. For example, pursuant to a court judgement, cross-border advisory and other services of a Japanese company aimed at implementation in Poland of a licence granted to a Polish company constitute a local PE in the meaning of the Poland–Japan DTT.

Owing to the protection of DTTs executed by Poland, the PE condition may not be enough to tax local income of the foreign entrepreneur. Namely, with few exceptions, most such treaties require that tax authorities prove a nexus between local business activities of the PE and any items of income derived by the entrepreneur in Poland. In the absence of such nexus, no item of local income may be taxed. However, a few treaties, such as the DTT between Poland and Italy, set forth the presumption that one has to assume that such nexus exists between the PE and all items of income, if any, derived by that taxpayer in Poland, unless the taxpayer proves the absence of such nexus with a given item of local income.

In addition, DTTs executed by Poland provide which local permanent activities and places may not be considered to be the PE. Although such activities and places differ to some extent, Polish tax treaties are based on the OECD Model Convention, and therefore such definitions are similar in all treaties. In general, a fixed place of business in Poland does not constitute the PE if it constitutes a construction site or installation for a certain period (usually lasting not more than 12 to 18 months), or if the fixed place is designated solely for the storage or delivery of products, or the purchase of goods or gathering of information (or for the combination of some or all of these). In the absence of a DTT, most such activities would constitute a PE, and any income generated by the taxpayer from such activities would have to be taxed in Poland.

V TAX INCENTIVES, SPECIAL REGIMES AND RELIEF THAT MAY ENCOURAGE INWARD INVESTMENT

According to Article 17, Section 1, Item 34 of the CITL, income derived from economic activity conducted in the Polish territory within an SEZ is tax-exempt. The main purpose of SEZs is to encourage investors to invest in these territories to accelerate the economic development of such regions. In return, an investor is permitted to deduct a specific percentage (depending on pertinent regulations, up to 50 per cent) of its qualified investment outlays and costs incurred in the SEZ (eligible expenditures) from the amount of income tax. The investor must obtain a prior permit from the Minister of Economy to pursue business activity in an SEZ. The investor may benefit from the CIT exemption on the ground of costs borne for a new (initial) investment, or on the ground of costs borne for the creation of workplaces for new employees. The CIT exemption may be cancelled as a result of withdrawal of the permit if the investor ceased to perform business activity in the SEZ as defined in the permit, or flagrantly violated conditions specified in the permit, or failed to redress infringements thereof within the deadline set by the Minister. The Polish SEZ regulation provides a list of activities that are banned in SEZs and may not be covered by the permit and, consequently, by the tax exemption, including administration and supporting services (such as those related to office administration services, e.g., preparation and copying of documents, post services such as e-mails and answering telephones), except for call centre services, which are explicitly authorised to be performed in SEZs; professional, science and technical services (e.g., legal and tax advisory, management advisory, head office, advertising and translation services); financial, insurance and real estate transactions; certain licence services related to books, brochures, maps, magazines, computer games, software, etc.; military services; film, video and TV production; waste management services; construction services; and certain other services that are also banned in SEZs.

In addition, costs of investments (not only in the SEZ) not successfully closed can be fully deducted by a taxpayer on the date of sale or liquidation of investments. The disposal of investments should be documented with an invoice or a bill, and liquidation of investments should be documented with a memorandum (protocol) of liquidation.

In Poland any foreign income items may also be income tax-exempt under a pertinent DTT. If the tax treaty is silent as to the exemption, or in absence of a treaty,

foreign income tax may be credited against Polish tax on the same item of income (see Section VI.iv, *infra*).

i Holding company regimes

Inbound and outbound dividends, capital gains, and other local or foreign income derived by local holding corporations or their non-resident shareholders, are subject to income taxation at 19 per cent and, in certain cases, tax exemptions or tax credit. The basic characteristics of a local special holding company tax regime are:

Dividends exemption	Yes
Minimum holding	10% (25% for Switzerland)
<i>In votes or in capital</i>	<i>Capital*</i>
Minimum holding period for dividends	Two years [†]
Capital gains tax exemption	No
Capital loss deduction	Yes
Deduction of expenses (e.g., interest)	Yes
Debt-to-equity ratio	3:1
Capital infusion tax	0.5% [‡]
Withholding tax to parent under domestic law	19%/0% [§]
<i>To EU, EEA and Switzerland parent</i>	<i>0%</i>
<i>To US parent</i>	<i>15%/5%</i>
Foreign income tax credit	Yes, if foreign income is not tax-exempt locally under a tax treaty
Foreign income tax credit for underlying tax	Yes, for CIT of foreign subsidiaries from outside the EU, EEA and Switzerland
Cross-border consolidation	Yes, under certain circumstances
Controlled foreign companies legislation	No
<p>* This exemption applies to dividend payments between domestic companies, EU, EEA or Switzerland-based companies. The direct shareholder's interest in the share capital should amount to 10 per cent. In respect of dividends paid by Swiss companies, interest in the share capital must amount to at least 25 per cent.</p> <p>† The tax exemption also applies even if the two-year minimum holding period is met after the payment of dividends.</p> <p>‡ Exemptions available for an in-kind contribution of enterprise or its organised part or shares in an EU capital company to the share capital of a local capital company in exchange for its new shares, and for mergers and transformations of capital companies.</p> <p>§ No dividend withholding tax if the requirements for the tax exemption referred to in the first footnote to this table are satisfied.</p>	

ii IP regimes

According to Article 18b of the CITL, Polish taxpayers are entitled to deduct expenditures incurred for acquisitions of new technologies unless they carry on activities in an SEZ in the tax year or in the preceding year. New technologies are defined as technological knowledge in the form of intangible assets, in particular R&D results, that enable manufacture of new or improved goods or services, and that have not been applied

worldwide for a period longer than the last five years, which should be confirmed by the opinion of a scientific unit. Deductions of such expenditures may not exceed 50 per cent of the expenditures.

iii State aid

On 5 July 2011 the Council of Ministers adopted the Scheme Concerning Support for Investments of Material Significance to the Polish Economy for the period 2011 to 2020 (the Scheme), which was subsequently amended on 13 April 2013. The purpose of the Scheme is to increase innovation and competitiveness in the Polish economy through cash grants awarded to Polish and foreign companies. The cash grants under the Scheme may be awarded until 2015 for a maximum of five years, and the Scheme will end in 2020. The Scheme's overall budget is 686.4 million zlotys. Under the Scheme, funds may be granted in order to support high-value investments or the creation of new workplaces to entrepreneurs planning investment in priority sectors such as aviation, the car industry, electronic equipment manufacturing (e.g. computers, home appliances, TV, radio and telecommunication devices, etc.), biotechnology, R&D or 'modern' services, including IT processing and advanced IT processing management, knowledge management, IT consulting, engineering centres, and also certain business processes.⁹

To qualify for support for the creation of new jobs, the investor should make at least one of the following investments:

- a* a new manufacturing investment in the priority sectors, offering at least 250 new jobs, with a minimum investment of 40 million zlotys; or
- b* significant new manufacturing investments, offering at least 500 new jobs, with a minimum investment of 500 million zlotys or offering at least 200 new jobs, with a minimum investment of 750 million zlotys (significant investments);
- c* a new investment in the 'modern' services sector, offering at least 250 new jobs, with a minimum investment of 1.5 million zlotys; or
- d* new R&D investments, creating at least 35 jobs for employees with higher education degrees, with a minimum investment of 1.5 million zlotys.

To qualify for support for new investment, the investor should carry out at least one of the following investments:

- a* new investments in priority sectors offering at least 50 new jobs for employees, with a minimum investment of 160 million zlotys;
- b* significant investments; or
- c* new R&D investments, creating at least 35 jobs for employees with higher education degrees, with a minimum investment of 10 million zlotys.

The cash grants for significant investments may be awarded for periods exceeding five years, but only until 2020. No cash grants may be awarded for any investments in areas where the unemployment rate is below 75 per cent of Poland's average unemployment

⁹ For example, HR management, accounting and tax services, risk management, hedging, netting and certain other services.

rate, except for investments in R&D, 'modern' services, significant investments or, in certain eastern parts of Poland, investments in 'priority sectors'. In principle it is not possible to combine cash grants awarded under the Scheme in an amount higher than 3 million zlotys with other regional cash grants originating from the state budget, CIT exemptions available in SEZs or EU grants, except for cases of cash grants for the significant investments or the R&D sector or where the value of an investment in other priority sectors exceeds 350 million zlotys, or if an investor creates 500 new jobs in the modern services sector. Any deviation from this rule requires the consent of the Polish Council of Ministers.

Investors whose investments meet the above criteria may apply on a standard form to the Polish Information and Foreign Investment Agency (PAIiIZ). The application is assessed by PAIiIZ and the Ministry of Economy. The decision of whether or not to award cash grants under the Scheme is made discretionally; however, the Scheme defines the criteria for assessing the investment parameters for the purpose of calculating available support.

Poland-based companies, either within or outside an SEZ, may under certain conditions benefit from the horizontal state aid instruments for legitimate purposes, mainly employment and training. State aid for employment is, as a rule, designed to reimburse companies for part of the costs of employment or training of selected categories of persons, namely, employees, unemployed persons delegated by local labour offices, and disabled and disadvantaged persons.

Incentives are granted in Poland in compliance with the EU state aid rules. The maximum regional aid intensity available for investors varies from 30 per cent (in Warsaw) to 50 per cent (mostly in the eastern part of Poland).

iv General

Domestic tax legislation, harmonised with EU tax law, the low 19 per cent CIT rate, the income tax exemption offered for activities conducted in the SEZs, the real estate tax exemption offered by local self-government bodies in many areas of Poland, broad state aid programmes for new investments, the advantageous tax treatment of IP technologies and unsuccessful investments, the limited thin capitalisation rules, the attractive geographical location in central and eastern European region and the government's attempts to soften the adverse impact of economic depression of other countries on Poland's economy in order to maintain economic growth all make Poland a very attractive jurisdiction for business development, acquisition and operation. In addition, the extensive network of DTTs and the attractive foreign tax credit system, including the foreign full tax credit for dividend withholding tax and underlying tax, allow for the effective elimination or sufficient reduction of the total international and local tax burdens on income derived by Poland-based holding companies and on foreign income derived by Polish companies.

VI WITHHOLDING AND TAXATION OF NON-LOCAL SOURCE INCOME STREAMS

i Withholding outward-bound payments (domestic law)

19 per cent withholding tax applies to outbound dividends and other income from participation in corporate profits, and 20 per cent withholding tax to outbound interest and royalties. Other income from participation in corporate profits includes income from share capital reduction, company liquidation, redemption of corporate shares (except for their voluntary redemption via transfer of shares to a company, qualified as taxable capital gains from 2011), and other income from shares (e.g., bonus shares or shareholders' income from companies mergers and divisions) or other equity titles in legal persons.

Domestic compliance rules for the settlement of local withholding tax on cross-border interest and dividend outbound payments from securities registered on an *immibus* account maintained for foreign investors also apply.

ii Domestic law exclusions or exemptions from withholding on outward-bound payments

Poland has implemented into domestic tax laws Council Directive 90/435/EEC on the common system of taxation applicable in the case of parent companies and subsidiaries of different Member States, which sets forth, *inter alia*, the 'participation exemption'. Accordingly, payments of outbound dividends and other income from participation in corporate profits of a Polish corporation are exempt from local dividend withholding taxation provided that:

- a* the recipient of the payment is a company that is a tax resident of any EU Member State, Switzerland or an EEA Member State, provided the recipient is not entirely tax-exempt as regards its worldwide income, and has a legal form indicated in an attachment to the above Directive or is such company's PE also located in one of the above-mentioned states;
- b* the recipient of dividends holds at least 10 (in the case of Switzerland, 25) per cent of shares in the Polish corporate subsidiary for an uninterrupted period of two years, even if this minimum holding period expires after the dividends were paid; and
- c* prior to the payment of dividends or other corporate profits, a tax certificate is delivered by the recipient of the income to the Polish subsidiary, such certificate to be issued by the former's pertinent foreign tax authority to confirm that the recipient is a tax resident of an EU Member State or another state referred to above.

Pursuant to a judgment of the local court, the dividend withholding tax exemption may still apply, although the minimum two-year holding period elapses after a merger of a parent company from an EU Member State with its general tax successor (being another EU company referred to in the above Directive).

Poland has also implemented Council Directive 2003/49/EC on a common system of taxation applicable to interest and royalty payments made between associated

companies of different Member States. In particular, payments of outbound interest and royalty are exempt from withholding taxation. The withholding tax exemption as from July 2013 apply to outbound interest and royalties as long as:

- a* the recipient and payer of interest or royalty are associated companies where one company holds directly at least 25 per cent of shares of the other company, or another company holds directly at least 25 per cent of shares of both the payer and the recipient of interest or royalty, or the payer or recipient (or both) is a PE of any of such associated companies;
- b* the above minimum 25 per cent holding of shares lasts for an uninterrupted period of two years, even if this minimum holding period ends after the payment of interest or royalty;
- c* the recipient of interest or royalty is a tax resident of any EU or EEA Member State or Switzerland, provided the recipient is not entirely tax-exempt as regards its worldwide income, or it is such tax resident's PE located in another EU or EEA Member State or Switzerland; and
- d* prior to the payment, the recipient of income delivers its tax residence certificate issued by its pertinent foreign tax authority to confirm that the recipient is a tax resident in the EU Member State or Switzerland, or is such tax resident's PE that is also located in another EU Member State or Switzerland.

The existing withholding tax exemptions of outbound dividends, interest and royalties, paid mainly to recipients from the EU, the EEA and Switzerland referred to in Section VI.ii, *supra*, are limited to situations where Poland may claim the exchange of information from tax authorities of the country of the foreign recipient of income pursuant to a pertinent DTT.

iii Double taxation treaties

Local interest and royalty withholding taxation at 20 per cent, and dividend withholding taxation at 19 per cent, may be reduced to 10 per cent, 5 per cent or even eliminated in compliance with a relevant DTT. A foreign recipient of such payment should deliver a tax certificate from its respective tax authorities to confirm that it is a tax resident of the other contracting state under the meaning of the tax treaty. Tax authorities believe that such certificate is valid for one year only and the same recipient of income should deliver a new tax certificate for each tax year in which it receives a payment falling under local withholding taxation. According to the local courts, however, such a tax residence certificate remains up-to-date and valid for Polish tax authorities as long as the information confirmed in it has not changed.

In turn, most of the 91 DTTs executed by Poland provide that Polish income taxation of inbound dividends, interest and royalty may be reduced through foreign tax credits on such income (not higher, however, than the Polish tax due on the same item of income). In addition, the Poland-Luxembourg DTT, which exceptionally provided for the tax exemption of foreign inbound dividends, whereby a Luxembourg company's dividends that are subject to Luxembourg dividend withholding taxation are Polish tax-exempt, changed as from 2013. Now the Luxembourg dividend withholding tax may only be credited against the Polish dividend withholding tax.

iv Taxation on receipt

Dividends, interest and royalties are taxed on a cash basis. Dividends paid between local corporate companies, or by a foreign corporate company tax resident in any EU or EEA Member State or Switzerland, are exempted from Polish income taxation if the Polish recipient of dividends holds at least 10 (25, in case of a Swiss subsidiary company) per cent of shares in a subsidiary distributing dividends for an uninterrupted period of two years, even if this minimum holding period expires after the payment of dividends. Any inbound dividends, interest, royalties and other item of foreign income may be also exempt from Polish income taxation if a pertinent DTT provides for such an exemption. Whenever a tax treaty provides otherwise, or in the absence of a treaty, foreign income tax may be credited against Polish tax. Such credit, however, may not exceed the Polish income tax on the same income.

Polish companies receiving foreign (inbound) dividends in Poland may credit against Polish CIT foreign dividend withholding tax, as well as foreign corporate tax paid by a foreign corporation on its profits out of which such dividends were paid out (full tax credit for underlying tax). The foreign underlying tax may also be credited against Polish tax on other foreign income, not only dividends. As a result, in many cases there would be no corporate tax in Poland, since the 19 per cent Polish tax rate is lower than tax rates in many countries. The Polish tax credit for the underlying tax, however, applies only with respect to foreign tax of a foreign subsidiary being tax resident in countries other than the EU, EEA Member States and Switzerland, having a DTT with Poland, and provided that the Polish corporation holds at least 75 per cent of shares in the foreign company distributing dividends for an uninterrupted period of two years, even if this minimum holding period expires after the payment of dividends. The scope of the foreign tax credit referred to above, including the tax credit for foreign dividend withholding tax and underlying tax, is also granted in the case of Polish taxation of foreign income derived through a Poland-based PE of a company from the EU and the EEA Member State, pursuant to a pertinent DTT.

The existing tax credit on foreign inbound income referred to here is limited to situations where Poland may claim the exchange of information from tax authorities of the country of the source of foreign income pursuant to a pertinent DTT.

VII TAXATION OF FUNDING STRUCTURES

In practice, local companies are usually financed with a mix of equity contributions (both in cash and in kind) and typical loans from shareholders or third parties, or through bonds issued to shareholders or third parties. Sometimes, companies also obtain ‘additional shareholder cash contributions’, which in principle are required to be paid to cover accounting losses of the company, but may also be used to finance operations of the company in other situations, and may be returned to shareholders to the extent that they are not required to cover company losses.

i Thin capitalisation

The CITL provides for restrictions with respect to interest paid by the Polish subsidiary on borrowings (loans) extended by qualified related lenders. Such interest is not

tax-deductible to the extent that the loans from qualified lenders, increased by other debts towards qualified creditors, exceed three times the borrower's (Polish subsidiary) par value of the share capital. Qualified lenders are:

- a* a shareholder or shareholders directly holding at least 25 per cent of the voting stock in the share capital of the borrower; or
- b* sister companies, where the same shareholder directly holds at least 25 per cent of the voting stock in the share capital in each of those companies.

Thin capitalisation rules define debts increasing loans from qualified lenders as debts towards the qualified lenders and their shareholders directly holding in aggregate at least 25 per cent of the voting stock in the share capital of the qualified lender.

Interest on part of such a loan or loans exceeding the 3:1 debt-to-equity ratio is not deductible, but for local withholding taxation it should still be qualified as interest rather than dividends. The debt-to-equity ratio should be calculated at the day of interest payment. A definition of the loan covers not only typical loan agreements regulated in the Polish Civil Code, but also any agreement for payment of the amount that will subsequently have to be returned, including debt securities, irregular deposits and deposits.

Recent case law confirms that interest is not deductible under thin capitalisation rules if paid to a qualified lender under a loan extended by the same or other qualified lender; however, transfer of such a loan by the qualified lender to a third party (or vice versa) before payment of interest does not restrict interest deductions. Accordingly, due to the narrow scope of qualified lenders, an impact of the thin capitalisation rules on financing of local companies with inter-company loans is very limited.

ii Deduction of finance costs

Interest, discounts and other financial costs are deductible when they are actually paid or capitalised, that is, added to a principal amount of debt without payment. Interest that is not at arm's length may be challenged by the local tax authorities. Interest and other costs of financing acquisitions of shares and other securities may be also deducted, including situations of 'pushing debt down', where interest on the parent company's debts used to finance a purchase of shares in a subsidiary where companies subsequently are merged is deducted, after the merger, from taxable profits generated by the merged subsidiary's business.

Interest and other costs of financing acquisitions of depreciable fixed and intangible assets accrued until the day of placing a fixed asset into service are subject to depreciation write-offs. Such costs of financing acquisitions of depreciable fixed assets accrued after that day may be deducted according to general rules.

iii Restrictions on payments

Tax rules do not prevent dividend payments, which are not restricted by corporate law provided that they are not paid from the company's net assets required to fully cover the par value of the share capital. Dividends may amount to the aggregated company's profits from the last accounting year and retained earnings from previous years. Dividend payments must, however, be decreased by losses, the equivalent of treasury shares and

write-offs from the company's profits to reserve and supplemental capitals, as required by the articles of association or law.

If dividends are formally declared by a company, but not actually paid to shareholders, such dividends, as shareholders' funds deposited gratuitously with the company, may be a source of imputed income equal to interest on a hypothetical banking loan that otherwise would be taken by the company from a bank.

iv Return of capital

The equity capital may be repaid to the company's shareholders as a result of capital reduction or redemption of (almost) all or some of shares in the company. In both cases it is tax-neutral for shareholders up to the amount of the share purchase costs; only a surplus is taxable. Whenever new shares are issued with a share premium in exchange for an in-kind contribution, their purchase costs may not exceed the shares' par value. However, a share premium of shares acquired in exchange for a cash contribution increases the share purchase costs. In any case, losses from the capital reduction or redemption of shares are not deductible.

VIII ACQUISITION STRUCTURES, RESTRUCTURING AND EXIT CHARGES

i Acquisition

Most frequently, non-local companies acquire local businesses by virtue of acquisition of existing or new shares in a local corporation operating business. In the former case, a purchase of shares is subject to 1 per cent capital tax (tax on civil law transactions). In the latter case, such tax is payable at 0.5 per cent by the local corporation. In addition, acquisition of new shares issued by the company in exchange of cash contribution to the local corporation is frequently used to eliminate tax on capital gains that arises for sellers in cases of purchases of existing shares. In particular, sellers may reduce the share capital or redeem their existing shares in the corporation for consideration to be paid by the corporation out of cash contributed for the new shares by the investor, instead of selling the existing shares to the non-local purchaser directly. In such a case, the consideration for the sellers qualifies as dividend-like income that may be tax-exempt if the seller holds 10 per cent of shares in the corporation for an uninterrupted period of two years ending even after the redemption of old shares. Since 2011, the tax legislation has limited this solution to reduction of the share capital and certain types of redemption of corporate shares; transferring of shares to the company for the purposes of their redemption is excluded from this solution.

Acquisitions of shares in local corporations are frequently financed with inter-company or third-party loans, and often such acquisitions are followed by a merger (also cross-border) between the acquiring and target companies. According to existing tax rulings, after the merger, interest on such loans may be deducted from the taxable profits of the target company's business.

Foreign investors use also local limited partnerships issuing shares to acquire or operate local businesses, since income from shares in such partnership is not taxable until actually paid out as dividends to a person holding shares in the partnership. As a

result, such income is not subject to monthly tax advances, and it is not taxable at all if reinvested into the partnership instead of being distributed as dividends. In addition, although there are opposite judgments, such local partnership frequently is not qualified as a Polish PE of a foreign person holding shares in the partnership according to prevailing case law. In such cases, profits of the Polish limited partnerships issuing shares may be excluded from income taxation in Poland pursuant to most DTTs.

Due to attractive tax treatment, foreign and local investors use also Poland-based close-ended investment funds, qualified foreign-regulated mutual investment funds and regulated investment companies from the EU and the EEA to acquire shares in local businesses. Although such funds or regulated companies may not conduct operational business activities directly, they may invest in real property, in shares issued by local companies and limited partnerships issuing shares, and in other securities. They are entirely exempt from Polish CIT on any local and foreign income. Therefore, if they invest in shares issued by such a local partnership that is tax-transparent in Poland, no CIT is levied on profits derived through the partnership in proportion to interest held by such a fund in the partnership.

ii Reorganisation

Poland has implemented Council Directive 133/2009/EC of 19 October 2009 on the common system of taxation applicable to mergers, divisions, partial divisions, transfers of assets and exchanges of shares concerning companies of different Member States, and to the transfer of the registered office of an SE or SCE between Member States as regards both cross-border and domestic mergers, demergers and other corporate reorganisations determined in the Directive. Accordingly, pursuant to the CITL, a merger of companies from Poland or other EU Member States is tax-exempt for both the merging companies and their shareholders, except for up-stream mergers when the acquiring company holds less than 10 per cent of voting rights in the target company. The same exemptions apply to a company demerger, provided, however, that all assets and liabilities of the demerged company are divided into two or more parts, each representing a separate organised part of enterprise. Otherwise, a demerger will be taxed as a sale of assets by the demerged company and a sale of shares by its shareholders.

The above tax exemptions apply assuming that the merger or demerger is pursued for justified business (non-tax) reasons, whereas tax avoidance is not the main or one of the main merger drivers.

If a company contributes in-kind a majority of shares in a company to another company in exchange for shares of the latter company, whether as a domestic or cross-border exchange, such an exchange of shares will be exempt from CIT (19 per cent) and capital tax (0.5 per cent) if all such companies are tax resident in Poland or other EU Member States. In addition, in-kind contribution of an organised part of enterprise is exempt from corporate and capital taxes.

From 2011, an enterprise conducted by a natural person may also be transformed into a corporation in a tax-exempt way.

iii Exit

A non-local investor may exit from a Polish corporate company by selling shares in such a company. Most DTTs executed by Poland exempt capital gains from such sale from Polish income tax, except for some treaties allowing for taxation if the company holds mainly real properties. Alternatively, the investor may redeem the shares for consideration that in certain cases may be considered dividend income that is tax-exempt or taxed at a lower treaty rate according to rules referred to in Section VI.i–iii, *supra*.

Such an investor may also exit from Poland by relocating a Polish company, including an SE, to another EU Member State by way of a statutory merger with a company in such other EU Member State. The SE may also move its corporate seat between the EU Member States. Another Polish company would have to open a liquidation procedure if it decided to move its seat to another country.

In Poland, no emigration taxes are levied on entities that cease to be Polish tax residents.

Income from liquidation of a partnership is tax-exempt under local rules. Therefore, investors holding interests in a local partnership may liquidate it in order to exit from the partnership's business in a tax-neutral way. In addition, profits of limited partnerships issuing shares are not taxable until they are declared for distribution as dividend. Thus, while some tax authorities disagree about this, nevertheless, the local courts have issued positive judgements confirming that if such partnership reinvests its profits instead of paying out dividends, and subsequently it is liquidated, profits of such partnership may be tax-exempt when derived and when distributed to the partners upon liquidation of the partnership.

Poland-based close-ended investment funds, qualified foreign-regulated mutual investment funds, and regulated investment companies from the EU and the EEA, may exit from their local investments through mere sales of shares in local companies and other securities, since they are entirely exempt from Polish CIT on any local and foreign income, including capital gains from sales of such shares and other securities.

IX ANTI-AVOIDANCE AND OTHER RELEVANT LEGISLATION

i General anti-avoidance

Anti-avoidance rules are very limited in Poland. Article 199a of the Polish Tax Ordinance sets forth the 'substance-over-form' principle. Under this regulation, the tax authorities may ignore tax effects of a given transaction if it is a cover for another transaction (legal act). In such case, the tax effects should be drawn from the hidden transaction. Whenever intentions of transacting parties cast doubt, the tax authorities should refer to a civil court to judge the existence or non-existence of such a transaction. In principle, Article 199a may apply when a given transaction is executed or performed in an extraordinary manner in order to achieve tax benefits that would not normally be available if such a transaction were performed in a typical manner.¹⁰

¹⁰ As confirmed by the verdict of the NSA of 18 November 2009 No. I FSK 1133/08.

Taxpayers entering into transactions triggering payments, directly or indirectly, to entities located in jurisdictions known for applying harmful tax practices must prepare transfer pricing documentation explaining such transactions if an aggregated amount of payments exceeds €20,000 per year.

The Ministry of Finance believes that existing anti-avoidance rules are not efficient and is planning to propose draft legislation setting out a new general anti-avoidance clause to come into force from 2015.

ii Controlled foreign corporations

Poland does not have controlled foreign company legislation, and dividends (both inbound and outbound) may not be taxed until they are actually paid. However, the Ministry of Finance is planning to propose draft controlled foreign company legislation to come into force from 2015.

iii Transfer pricing

Transactions between related parties must be priced at arm's length. Parties are related, among others, where one party holds, directly or indirectly, at least 5 per cent of shares in the share capital of the other party, or the same persons are in governing bodies of those entities. If, as a result of such relations between parties, they agree conditions differing from those that would have been agreed by independent entities, and as a result a taxpayer does not show any income or shows income lower than would have been expected should those linkages not exist, taxable income or related costs may be reassessed, and the tax settlement of that entity may be challenged by the tax authorities. In such a situation, the tax authorities determine income according to the following methods:

- a* the comparable uncontrolled price method;
- b* the resale price method;
- c* the reasonable margin (cost plus) method; and
- d* the transaction profit method.

Please note that transactions with related entities require taxpayers to maintain relevant tax documentation describing, among other things, the functions of parties, anticipated costs of the transaction, the method and manner of calculating profits and pricing, a business strategy and factors defining the value of the transaction. Taxpayers must present the documentation within seven days of any request of the tax authorities. Should the company fail to provide such documentation, the tax authorities may apply a 50 per cent tax rate to income reassessed by them.

From 18 July 2013, the scope of a transfer pricing tax audit has been broadened to include restructurings by related parties of their activities, where such restructuring is defined as a transfer between such parties of substantial economic functions, assets or risks.

iv Tax clearances and rulings

The Minister of Finance, *ex officio* or upon the request of taxpayers and other entities, issues general guidelines applicable to tax rules governing selected tax issues that in the opinion of the Minister require uniform interpretation. If particular cases require interpretation

of the tax rules, an entity (taxpayer, withholding tax agent and certain other entities) interested in securing the certainty of such interpretation may also apply to selected heads of tax chambers, who (representing the Minister of Finance) issue individual tax rulings within three months (tax rulings regarding local taxes are issued by local government tax authorities). If a ruling is not issued within this period, the tax interpretation presented by the entity in its application will become valid for the tax authorities (a silent ruling), which allows taxpayers to avoid unjustified delays in settlements of tax issues in business activities.

An existing tax ruling may be changed by a new one; however, a taxpayer complying with an original tax ruling that is subsequently changed may not be liable to taxation contrary to the contents of the original tax ruling, and if tax referred to in the original ruling is settled periodically (monthly, quarterly or yearly), the taxpayer is exempt from such tax by the end of the tax settlement period during which the new tax ruling was served to the taxpayer, except for cases arising prior to serving the original tax ruling to this taxpayer.

Taxpayers dissatisfied with any private tax ruling may file a complaint with a district administrative court within 30 days, and subsequently with the NSA.

X YEAR IN REVIEW

During 2013, investors' interest in the use of local partnerships, especially in limited partnerships issuing shares, increased, due to the case law confirming that income derived through limited partnerships issuing shares is not taxable until actually declared to have been paid out as dividends to a person holding shares of the partnership.

Investors also continued to use regulated mutual investment funds from other EU or EEA Member States to acquire shares issued by partnerships and other companies, or to invest in other Polish securities directly. Due to the full corporate tax exemption, no CIT is levied on local and foreign capital gains and other income of such investment funds, including profits derived through such partnerships in proportion to the fund's shares in such partnership.

Since the Ministry of Finance proposed draft legislation imposing, from 2014, corporate income tax at 19 per cent on profits of currently tax-transparent limited partnerships issuing shares, investors have been looking for alternative vehicles that could be used to continue their local business activities in a tax-efficient way; among these, tax-transparent Polish limited partnerships and new Luxembourg-based special limited partnerships were suggested. Investors also came up with solutions in which a local limited liability company purchases assets from limited partnerships issuing shares for a relatively high price, which triggers a step-up in the depreciation basis in the limited liability company, but is not taxed on part of the selling partnership.

In 2013, company restructuring, transformations, mergers and acquisitions were prevalent in Poland.

In turn, the administrative courts issued positive judgments stating the following:

a General tax succession applicable in cases of statutory company mergers does not cover protection arising from a tax ruling. A general tax successor, however, may benefit from a tax ruling issued to an acquired company if such acquired company complied with such ruling before the merger.

- b* Performance in-kind of obligations to distribute dividends by virtue of transfer of assets does not constitute a sale of assets and does not trigger taxable income for a company distributing such dividends.
- c* A payment of remuneration by a Polish entity to foreign consultants for their services rendered outside Poland is not subject to Polish corporate income taxation; for local taxation it is not enough that an effect of such services refers to a Polish recipient of services.
- d* The statutorily defined value of transactions triggering local transfer pricing reporting obligations refer to the aggregated value of all transactions executed by a taxpayer in any given tax year, rather than to the value of each transaction separately.
- e* A Polish company may deduct a tax loss declared by its liquidated foreign branch office on the basis of the principle of freedom of movement of capital.
- f* A tax-residence certificate issued by non-Polish tax authorities in order to confirm tax residence of non-resident taxpayer remains up-to-date and should be accepted by Polish tax authorities as long as facts confirmed in it have not changed;
- g* A Cyprus-based regular capital company managed by directors or managers being natural persons may not qualify as a regulated investment fund and may not benefit from the corporate tax exemption designated for such funds, since Polish tax rules require that a tax-exempt foreign investment fund be managed by a permitted third-party management company and should be supervised by a competent financial sector supervision authority.
- h* A local limited partnership issuing shares may constitute a Polish permanent establishment of an American partner in such partnership.
- i* Shareholder loans should be qualified under local thin capitalisation rules as of the day of payment of interest only.
- j* Taxable income from sale of shares in local company arises when a payment of price for such shares becomes due and payable; if the price for shares is payable in instalments, taxation of income should be postponed until the date of a particular instalment;
- k* Payments received by a shareholder in a limited partnership issuing shares from a liquidation of such partnership, like in cases of liquidation of other partnerships, are not subject to income taxation.
- l* Restricting the tax exemption to income of regulated investment funds from Poland and other EU or EEA Member States violates Article 56 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. Accordingly, the income of regulated investment funds from countries outside the EU or EEA Member States should also be exempted from Polish CIT, which may be applied by foreign funds directly.

In 2013 the tax authorities changed their policy regarding local taxation in several important matters. In particular, the Minister of Finance and local tax authorities issued general tax guidelines and individual tax rulings confirming the following:

- a* Deductions of payments for rent of passenger cars used in business activities are not subject to statutory limitations referring to expenses of actual use of cars such as the costs of fuel and, therefore, such rental payments are fully deductible.

- b* Only statutory mergers of capital companies are tax exempt; therefore, in the event of the merging of a local partnership into a capital company, shares acquired in exchange for the business of the merging partnership by a partner in such a partnership constitute taxable income of such partner.
- c* The transformation of a corporate (capital) company into a local partnership is subject to corporate income taxation at 19 per cent on the retained profits of such company.
- d* Transformation of local partnership into a corporate (capital) company is not subject to corporate income taxation.
- e* An in-kind contribution of real estate to a local limited partnership issuing shares is not subject to income taxation.
- f* In cases of statutory company mergers, acquiring companies have to continue application of initial value, depreciation rates and methods applied by a target company to its fixed and intangible assets.
- g* The cost of food, beverages, lunches and other meals offered to customers and potential customers are not subject to statutory limitations of expenses of promotion and representation of a taxpayer and, therefore, such costs are fully deductible.

XI OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

From 2014, a number of amendments to the CITL and DTTs will enter into force, including the following.

i Corporate income tax

Corporate income taxation will be imposed on profits of limited partnerships issuing shares at 19 per cent (general and limited partnerships will remain tax transparent for income taxation). They will fall under standard corporate tax rules, including thin capitalisation rules, and should depreciate fixed and intangible assets, continuing application of rates and methods adopted before 2014. Payments of partnerships profits to general partners and shareholders of such partnerships will be also subject to dividend withholding tax at 19 per cent; general partners may credit income tax of the partnership (according to their participation in the partnership's profits) against withholding tax on profits distributed to them within next five consecutive years; shareholders may not, however, credit the partnership's tax against dividend withholding tax, but profits received by them may be exempted from dividend withholding tax provided a shareholder has held at least 10 per cent of shares of the partnerships for the minimum two-year period. Payments received by a general partner, or a shareholder withdrawing from the partnerships, will be taxable; the amended corporate income tax law sets also out interim rules that, subject to certain conditions, exempt 'old' profits from the 'new' corporate income tax and dividend withholding tax, and allow the deduction of 'old' losses by general partners of the limited partnerships issuing shares.

ii Assignment of rights

Income from the assignment of rights, under a sub-participation agreement with a securitisation investment fund, to collect proceeds from a loan will become taxable when a given repayment instalment of such loan becomes due and payable or, at the earlier repayment of such loan.

iii Double taxation treaties

There is a new DTT with Canada and new protocols to current DTTs, including those with Luxembourg, Iceland and Norway.

Poland has also ratified a new DTT with the United States, which will enter into force regarding withholding taxation two months after an exchange with the United States of the pertinent diplomatic note, and regarding the DTT's remaining provisions, from the beginning of the next calendar year following the year in which the United States exchanges the diplomatic note.

It is envisaged that the new DTT with the United States will enter into force in 2014 or 2015. The new DTT will then replace the existing one, which was executed in 1974 and does not include the limitation of benefits clause.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

JAROSŁAW BIEROŃSKI

Sottysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak

Jarosław Bieroński has provided counselling services to a number of Polish businesses, including within the Warsaw office of Ernst & Young, where he specialised in tax and foreign exchange law. He joined SK&S in 1993 and has been a partner at the firm since 2000. He established the firm's tax, customs and foreign exchange practice, and has been coordinating it ever since. He is a highly regarded specialist in international tax law and has extensive experience in representing clients in tax disputes before tax authorities and administrative courts, in tax planning and implementation – M&A, real estate, business restructuring, tax audits, transfer pricing documentation and wealth tax management. Apart from tax law, he deals with customs and foreign exchange law, company transformation and debt restructuring. In the ranking of tax firms and tax advisers, published by the daily newspaper *Dziennik Gazeta Prawna* in 2010–2013 he was placed second in Poland in the category of the 'best tax adviser' in M&A transactions and in international taxation. He provides advice to international and local clients, such as the General Electric Company, Mars Inc, Goodyear, the Gillette Company, Autodesk, Philips, Toyota, Sony Computer Entertainment, Viacom Global, Bakoma, Bank BPH, BlackRock Investment Management, MassMutual, SallieMae, ING Bank Śląski SA, and Carlo Tassara and Alior Bank SA.

Mr Bieroński graduated with a law degree from Poznań University Law School in 1990. He is admitted to practise as a legal and tax adviser. He is also a member of the International Fiscal Association and other international tax organisations.

**SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI
& SZŁĘZAK**

ul Wawelska 15B

02-034 Warsaw

Poland

Tel: +48 22 608 70 52

Fax: +48 22 608 70 70

jaroslaw.bieronski@skslegal.pl

www.skslegal.pl